CRAFTING BI/HOMOSEXUAL YOUTH

Judith A. Reisman

The press can both stimulate public opinion and miseducate it . . . . The press has become the greatest power within the Western countries, more powerful than the legislature, the executive, and judiciary. One would then like to ask: By what law has it been elected and to whom is it responsible.1

Aleksander Solzhenitsyn

I. INTRODUCTION

Based on its free speech privileges, the American press is charged with providing a “window to the world” by which the polity may locate the source of a social malaise, evaluate and correct it. But, if establishment media—news and entertainment—broadcasts disinformation and conceals adverse information about a matter or malaise, then the Fourth Estate becomes an unelected fifth column directing law and public policy. Philip Kotler’s classic work Marketing Management2 documents how controlled news is used to “both stimulate public opinion and miseducate” it.3 Rodgers and Kotler report that in a media campaign, two and one-half percent of our social leaders often sway roughly thirteen percent of the public early-on who later move another thirty-four percent, and so on until a majority accept and adapt to the proffered new product or idea.4

Media portrayal—positive or negative—can have a profound impact on public perception. For example, the 1969 Stonewall Riots in New York
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1 Aleksander Solzhenitsyn, Harvard University Commencement Address, reprinted in NAT’S REV., July 7, 1978, at 838.
3 Solzhenitsyn, supra note 1.
4 Kotler, supra note 2, at 345.
are widely considered to have been a turning point for homosexuality. The riots are widely perceived to have been a response to police harassment of innocent homosexual patrons in a gay bar. However, the riots actually were the result of a police raid on a center for drug trafficking, boy prostitution, and pederasts. In that vein, this article will offer evidence of widespread media and academic censorship and misrepresentation, the end result of which is a controlled and distorted public debate about homosexuality, now called "gay" rights.

II. CLEANING UP THE GAY IMAGE

A. The Press’ Complicity

The National Lesbian and Gay Journalists Association (NLGJA) recently boasted that although homosexuals are less than two percent of the population, three-fourths of the people who decide the content of the front page of the New York Times are homosexual. The NLGJA website states that it "works from within the news industry to foster fair and accurate coverage of lesbian and gay issues. NLGJA opposes workplace bias against all minorities and provides professional development for its members." Much of the Fourth Estate currently discriminates by employing only bi/homosexuals to cover "sexual orientation" issues, further compromising the public’s ability to obtain unbiased reports. On the evidence, what the NLGJA views as "fair" and "accurate" and what it views as "bias" evidences a serious conflict of interest.

Joseph Farah, editor-in-chief of WorldNetDaily, described the problem as follows: "There's an indelicate old newspaper saying that summarizes succinctly the way the industry traditionally viewed the issue of personal and journalistic conflicts of interest. The curmudgeonly city editor would say to his reporter, ‘Hey, I don’t care if you sleep with elephants, just don’t cover the circus.’”

That was the American journalistic standard for a long time, right up until the 1970s. Today people sleeping in the elephant tent, the
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6 See infra Appendix A.
hyena cage, the sheep exhibit, and the gerbil display are covering the circus. In the last quarter-decade, American journalism has transitioned from a profession of disinterested chroniclers to one more akin to a band of lobbyists using the press to support activist causes.

One of the most effective pressure groups in this brave new media world is the NLGJA. Farah reported:

Have you ever wondered why coverage of homosexuals and their cause is so universally positive? Now you know. The NLGJA’s president works at the Dallas Morning News. One vice president works at CNN. Another works at Newsday. The treasurer works at the New York Times. The secretary works for USA Today. Looks like they’ve got most of the bases covered.

. . . .

Barbara Walters, Lesley Stahl, New York Times Publisher Arthur Ochs Sulzberger, all . . . have participated in previous NLGJA events.11

Moreover, on September 13, Farah reported on the NLGJA convention in San Francisco:

I told you this conference was important for one reason -- it’s the best example of how our national press corps has become a band of activists, promoting political and cultural causes under the guise of objective news reporting.

While I have been chronicling the activities of [NLGJA] for several years, it wasn’t until the 10th anniversary convention in San Francisco last weekend that the group truly came out of the closet with regard to its own activist agenda.

This is not, as the group has portrayed itself, a “professional organization” that promotes higher standards, or gives its members a chance to compare notes, or an outfit that plans good parties for homosexual journalists once a year. It is, instead, a group that has bent so far toward changing the newsroom culture that the big debate in San Francisco was whether journalists should even bother getting other points of view on homosexuals’ issues and stories.

. . . .

CBS correspondent and NLGJA member Jeffrey Kofman made his thinking clear: “The argument (is): Why do we constantly see in coverage of gay and lesbian, bisexual and transgender issues the homophobes and the fag-haters quoted in stories when, of course, we don’t do that with Jews, blacks, et cetera?”

Paula Madison, vice president of diversity at NBC and news director for the NBC’s New York City affiliate WNBC, added: “I agree with him. I don’t see why we would seek out . . . the absurd, inane point of view just to get another point of view.”

. . . .

Up until now, lip service has been paid to the notion of balance in the press. Even though we all know it is a myth and that the corporate
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11 Id.
media are in bed with the very groups they claim to be covering objectively, there was a "pretense" toward fairness. That's gone. Hasta la vista. Bye-bye.\textsuperscript{12}

The mainstream press has ignored recent studies confirming the hisorical professional view that homosexuality is correlated with disorder. In 1999, the \textit{Archives of General Psychiatry} published two studies correlating homosexuality with significantly higher levels of mental illness than among the population at large.\textsuperscript{13} Yet a \textit{Westlaw}\textsuperscript{TM} search did not reveal a single newspaper story in the United States on either of the two studies. Even before those studies were published, George Rekers, the pioneering researcher in the study \textit{Gender Identity Disorder}, said that "it would now appear logical that homosexuality per se be re-examined as a mental disorder."\textsuperscript{14} Yet the press rarely covers scientific research unfavorable to gay activists. Instead, politically incorrect researchers like Rekers are ignored. On the other hand, the press repeatedly cites studies as "fact" that allege homosexuality is biologically determined.\textsuperscript{15} Though the NLGJA has only recently become so blatant\textsuperscript{16} about its agenda, such blatancy is the product of a well considered and brilliantly executed strategy that began about the time the NGLJA was founded. Below is the diagram provided by homosexual activists Marshall Kirk and Hunter Madsen in \textit{After The Ball}, addressing what they call their "conspiracy" (in their own words).\textsuperscript{17}

Kirk's psychological background and Madsen's Madison Avenue commercial advertising background enabled the two Harvard graduates

\begin{footnotes}
\item[15] See Kathryn C. Montgomery, \textit{Target: Prime Time, Advocacy Groups and the Struggle over Entertainment Television} (1989). In Chapter Five: \textit{Invisibility and Influence}, Montgomery documents the role of the "Gay Activist Alliance," later the "National Gay Task Force" with its offshoot the "Gay Media Task Force," in massive lobbying, engaging in sit-down strikes in offices and otherwise influencing both the press and the entertainment media, "sensitizing network decision makers to the nuances of behavior of which gays approved or disapproved." \textit{Id.} at 88. "Activist leaders used the mainstream press to publicize their campaign and to generate support from people outside the gay community." \textit{Id.} at 82. "[T]he National Gay Task Force regularly provided media decision makers with statistics and research to dispel commonly held myths about homosexuality . . . helping the networks in their own self-censorship process . . . enhanced and supported by the infrastructure of gays working in the industry." \textit{Id.} at 87.
\item[16] Farah, supra note 12.
\end{footnotes}
to market a new homosexual public face. Kirk and Madsen argued that the AIDS epidemic could conquer American resistance to Gays in the ‘90s. The Kirk and Madsen “conspiracy” urges the homosexual movement to cite the research of Alfred Kinsey (now discredited, especially his false claims of ten to thirty-seven percent male homosexuality). They also suggest covering up male homosexual promiscuity and predation toward youth and boys by claiming to be traditionalists and faultless victims of religious bigotry.

Kirk and Madsen’s “Portfolio of Pro-Gay Advertising” has been the national model for these pro-gay arguments. To change public attitudes toward homosexuals, Kirk and Madsen explain, activists must target an “unbigoted” audience, i.e. children. Instead of free and open debate, Kirk and Madsen advocate silencing critics, desensitizing mainstream America to bi/homosexuality, “jamming” any contrary information, and converting and mobilizing sympathetic political forces.

---

**Kirk and Madsen, After The Ball** 177 (1989).

Even now, purging or silencing critics from mainstream academic and media channels involves demonizing those they cannot “desensitize.” Kirk and Madsen explain how to depict people who would declare bi/homosexuality as an unhealthy norm, tying them to murders like Adolph Hitler and the Klu Klux Klan:

> [Following our techniques] propagandist advertisement can depict homophobic and homohating bigots as crude loudmouths and assholes—people who say not only ‘faggot’ but ‘nigger,’ ‘kike,’ and other shameful epithets—who are ‘not Christian.’ It can show them
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18 *Id.* at xxiv-xxvii.
19 *Id.* “Based on their personal experience, most straights probably would put the gay population at 1% or 2%,” but when asked, people respond with the “10% gay” statistic which our propagandists have been drilling into their heads for years.” *Id.* at 15. See also summary, *id.* at 14-16.
20 *Id.* at xxv. These concepts are put into practice in the model advertisements displayed and evaluated in *id.* at 213-45.
21 *Id.* at 216-45.
22 *Id.* at 121-27.
23 *Id.* at 147-56, 175-77.
24 *Id.* at 148.
25 KIRK & MADSEN, supra note 17; recommended a sample advertisement at 220.
being criticized, hated, shunned. It can depict gays experiencing horrific suffering as the direct result of the homohatred—suffering of which even most bigots would be ashamed to be the cause. It can, in short, link homohating bigotry with all sorts of attributes the bigot would be ashamed to possess, and with social consequences he would find unpleasant and scary.  

The Kirk and Madsen model had some rather successful precursors. Alfred Kinsey—one of the founding fathers of what is now called the "sexual revolution"—applied these same undemocratic principles of silencing, desensitizing, jamming, converting, mobilizing, and demonizing in the 1950s, a time when academia, the press, and Americans generally were far less receptive to libertine sexuality.

B. Forging the "Conspiracy with the power elite"

According to Kirk and Madsen, "AIDS gives us a chance, however brief, to establish ourselves as a victimized minority." Once victims, they explain, homosexuals could expect to receive "America’s special protection and care." However, changing public attitudes toward homosexuals would necessitate covering up the population’s sexually promiscuous conduct that even Kirk and Madsen thoroughly document. To alter public policies on bi/homosexuality that were built on hundreds of years of recorded observation and experience would require an effective media campaign. They explain:

The goal here has been to forge a little entente or conspiracy with the power elite, to jump ahead of public sentiment or ignore it altogether.

Sometimes the tactic works: many executive orders (which sidestep the democratic process) and ordinances passed by city councils... constitute political payoffs by elected officials whose candidacy the organized gay community has supported... Generally speaking, the most effective propaganda for our cause must succeed in doing three things at once.

- Employ images that desensitize...
- The rational message serves to camouflage our underlying emotional appeal...
- Gain access to the kinds of public media that would automatically confer legitimacy upon these messages and, therefore, upon their gay sponsors.
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26 Id. at 151-52.
28 KIRK & MADSEN, supra note 17, at xxv.
29 Id.
30 Id. at 171 (emphasis added).
• To be accepted by the most prestigious media, such as network TV, our messages themselves will have to be—at least initially—both subtle in purpose and crafty in construction.\footnote{Id. at 172-73 (emphasis added).}

In explaining how to produce idealistic gay advertisements, Kirk and Madsen state:
\begin{quote}
. . . [I]t makes no difference that the ads are lies; not to us, because we’re using them to ethically good effect . . . .\footnote{Id. at 154. (emphasis added).}
In the early stages of the campaign, the public should not be shocked and repelled by premature exposure to homosexual behavior itself. Instead, the imagery of sex per se should be downplayed, and the issue of gay rights reduced, as far as possible, to an abstract social question.\footnote{Id. at 178.}
Kirk and Madsen earlier advised that “[s]trights will be desensitized. . . . [I]nundate them in a continuous flood of gay-related advertising, presented in the least offensive fashion possible. . . . [T]hen it is possible to] turn next to more difficult, but also more vigorous and rewarding, tactics.”\footnote{Id. at 149-50.}
\end{quote}

Repeating often the legitimacy of a “conspiracy” to “jump ahead of public sentiment” and to “sidestep the democratic process” by emotional appeals that displace logic and reality, Kirk and Madsen say to use humor and victimization.\footnote{Id. at 183-87. It is most important to “Portray Gays as Victims of Circumstance & Oppression.” Id. at 183-89; e.g. sample “gay” ads as “humorous and striking” id. at 216-17; “humourous entertainment” id. at 236-37; “humorous approach” id. 238-39; “amusing and involving” id. at 242-43.}

They also recommend that homosexuals try to “fit in,” at least until it is too late to reverse cultural change.\footnote{Id. The goals outlined by Kirk and Madsen to fit in until the culture can be changed; “you get your foot in the door, by being as similar as possible” and then to change the society. Id. at 146. Also, “talk about gayness until the issue becomes thoroughly tiresome.” First, gays can use talk to muddy the waters,” to “undermine” the views of the public on homosexuality. Id. at 179 (emphasis added). “In the early stages of the campaign, the public should not be shocked and repelled by premature exposure to homosexual behavior itself. Instead, the imagery of sex per se should be downplayed, and the issue of gay rights reduced, as far as possible, to an abstract social question.” Id. at 178.}

Kirk and Madsen note that many homosexual men meet in bathrooms for anonymous sexual encounters, propositioning—and often offending—total strangers.\footnote{Id. at 308.}

The authors condemn this, suggesting that the practice,
along with its biological residue in bathroom stalls, creates a very negative image for homosexuals:

  When you're very different . . . get your foot in the door, by being as similar as possible; then, and only then—when your one little difference is finally accepted—can you start dragging in your other peculiarities, one by one. You hammer in the wedge narrow end first. As the saying goes, allow the camel's nose beneath your tent, and his whole body will soon follow.38

The authors don't suggest that any of the practices they condemn are somehow inappropriate or wrong—only that they must be temporarily subordinated to the campaign for public acceptance of homosexuality.39

The success of this ongoing campaign and the concurrent schoolroom sexual "reeducation" (discussed hereinafter) is visible in the eight-year shift from youthful rejection to youthful acceptance and experimentation with homosexuality. A recent "teen poll" conducted by the establishment girls magazine Seventeen claimed that seventeen percent of teens polled in 1991, versus fifty-four percent polled in 1999,
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38 Id. at 146.
39 Id.
accept homosexuality as appropriate.\textsuperscript{40} \textit{Seventeen} casually noted: "Teens today are likely to experiment: twenty-one percent . . . have fantasized about fooling around with someone of the same sex—and fifteen percent say they have actually done so."\textsuperscript{41}

As in \textit{Seventeen}, at the same time that establishment media carelessly announces juvenile homosexual experimentation, it suppresses data on the culture of male and female inter-gay violence—especially men battered and killed by pickups and prostitutes—although these cases are often reported by the homosexual press itself. Diligently hidden by both homosexual and establishment media are the data on homosexual violence against children and inter-gay "domestic" battery.

\textit{C. Hiding Dirty Laundry}

The biases of the media are revealed as much by what is not reported as what is reported. Homosexual authors David Island and Patrick Letellier attempt to expose inter-gay violence in their book, \textit{Men Who Beat the Men Who Love Them}, estimating that up to "650,000 gay men"\textsuperscript{42} are annually battered; "a gay man is abused . . . every 90 seconds."\textsuperscript{43} How many of these battered men die at the hands of other homosexuals? There were 3327 cases of gay-on-gay "domestic violence" reported by the National Coalition of Anti-Violence Programs in 1997\textsuperscript{44} — three times the number of "anti-gay" "intimidation" or assaults alleged upon homosexuals that same year.\textsuperscript{45} Island and Letellier document inter-gay battery as the primary homosexual health problem after 1) AIDS (males), Cancer (females) and 2) drug abuse. They write, "The Director of the Gay Men’s Domestic Violence Project . . . in San Francisco stated that domestic violence may affect and poison as many as fifty percent of gay male couples."\textsuperscript{46} "We believe that far too many [heterosexual] husbands . . . are violent, but that their proportion is closer to twenty percent."\textsuperscript{47} "Domestic violence is acknowledged, talked

\textsuperscript{40} \textit{Seventeen} Magazine, May 2000, at 214.
\textsuperscript{41} \textit{Id.}
\textsuperscript{43} \textit{Id.} at 276.
\textsuperscript{45} Anne Seymour et al., \textit{Hate and Bias Crimes}, in NAT’L VICTIM ASSISTANCE ACAD. (Anne Seymour et al. eds., 2000) at http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/ovc/assist/nvas2000/academy/ (last updated Jun. 5, 2001) (funding for this project was provided by the United States Department of Justice). In Chapter 22 Special Topics Section 1, Hate and Bias Crime Abstract, of the 8049 hate crime incidents reported, 1102 were for "sexual orientation bias." \textit{Id.} As in the year 2000, the largest category of bias crime alleged, “intimidation,” does not involve physical touching or battery. \textit{Id.}
\textsuperscript{46} \textit{Island & Letellier, supra} note 42, at 12.
\textsuperscript{47} \textit{Id.} at 50.
about, and dealt with more in straight relationships than in gay male relationships."48

Approximately 21,000 Americans are murdered every year, an average of 58 each day,49 compared to two killings allegedly based on "sexual orientation" in 1996.50 These hard data find "gay" men to be at far greater risk of harm from other gay men and from outraged, often former boy abuse victims, than from homophobic rednecks. Yet Island and Letellier find establishment media and the homosexual media will not print the truth about inter-gay violence. Why?

It "would be just plain bad press for gays and . . . all bad news needs to be suppressed. . . . [G]ay men truly . . . have a proportionate share of violent individuals in their midst who bash other gay men [and boys] in startlingly high numbers."51 "The gay community needs to recognize that wealthy, white, educated, 'politically correct' gay men batter their lovers."52

The Advocate, the premier magazine for homosexual readers, reports that a minimum of seventy-five percent of its readers admit to engaging in violent sex; twenty percent engaged in sadistic "bondage and discipline"; and fifty-five percent engaged in other sex acts using painful objects.53

Compared to heterosexual distrust or dislike, the rare assault inflicted on someone at a bar and the singular, although horrible, aberrant murder, it is fair to say that the on-going, most significant "hate crimes" against homosexuals are, as Kirk and Madsen noted, inflicted by homosexuals.54 In 1987, "the San Francisco police responded

48 Id. at 16. As a side bar, it is striking that violence to boy children by trusted adult males is also a common theme in homosexual and "heterosexual" pornography. In the latter, young girls are seduced and graphically raped but rarely illustrated as stabbed, killed or cannibalized (unless in a scene with a boy). For example, one Playboy cartoon has Santa, having just eaten a little lad, tell his elf, "Bring in another!" PLAYBOY, Jan. 1977, at 221. A Penthouse cartoon depicts Santa having blown apart a small boy's brain with the caption, 'That'll teach you to be a good boy.' PENTHOUSE, Dec. 1977, at 208. In another Penthouse cartoon a Victorian family at the dinner table prepares to eat a headless, naked, cooked boy as father says, "And God bless Tiny Tim" (Tim's crutch has been laid aside forever), PENTHOUSE, Dec. 1988, at 210.

49 U.S. DEPT OF JUSTICE, NATIONAL CRIME VICTIMIZATION SURVEY: INJURIES FROM VIOLENT CRIME 1992-98 at 1 (2001) ("on average each year more than 21,000 people were murdered, 1992-98").

50 Seymour et al., supra note 45.

51 ISLAND & LETELLIER, supra note 42, at 10.

52 Id. at 24.


54 REISMAN, supra note 27. On the one hand, "hate crimes" against homosexuals, such as a physical assault are statistically meager. On the other hand, the most statistically significant "hate crimes" against homosexuals, as Island and Letellier
to no fewer than 100 calls per month for gay and lesbian domestic violence. . . . [T]here are thousands upon thousands of victims of gay men's domestic violence in the United States each month."\footnote{55}

In 1981, the homosexual press reported that about \emph{ten percent of San Francisco’s homicides resulted from homosexual sadomasochistic abuse},\footnote{56} a finding that would be in keeping with the Reisman & Johnson data (discussed hereinafter), and that of several homophile researchers who cited self-confessed sadism among upscale homosexual \emph{Advocate} readers.\footnote{57}

\begin{itemize}
\item D. The Facts vs. Media Coverage
\end{itemize}

Perhaps one of the most memorable media events of 1998 was the murder of Matthew Shepard, a freshman at the University of Wyoming. The Shepard murder is a case study in media bias and the politicization strategies outlined by Kirk and Madsen. Shepard was lured from a bar, robbed, beaten mercilessly, and left unconscious; he later died in a hospital. The press and gay activists quickly turned Shepard’s death into a \emph{cause celebre} even though family members asked that his death not be

\addcontentsline{toc}{section}{D. The Facts vs. Media Coverage}

\footnote{55} Island & Letellier, \emph{supra} note 42, at 8-9.
\footnote{57} Judith Reisman \& Charles Johnson, Partner Solicitation as a Reflection of Male Sexual Orientation (1981). Included in that report are three studies, reported in \emph{Gay Relationships} (John DeCecce ed. 1988) by homophile researchers. The report examined sadism among homosexuals, among other behaviors; Lumby, \emph{id.} at 61-72, Laner \& Kamel, \emph{id} at 73-90, and Lee, \emph{id} at 11-32. These independent, homosexually positive studies confirmed the Reisman \& Johnson findings of statistically significant rates of homosexual sadism, public sex, desires for young sex partners, promiscuity and the like.

The Media Research Center documented how establishment media, including \textit{NBC Today}, \textit{Time}, and \textit{Newsweek}, tried to smear Christians and conservatives by blaming them for an “anti-gay climate” that resulted in the death of Matthew Shepard. In an October 12, 1998, interview with Wyoming Governor Jim Geringer, \textit{NBC Today} co-host Katie Couric offered her polemical opinion in the form of a question:

Some gay rights activists have said that some conservative political organizations like the Christian Coalition, the Family Research Council and Focus on the Family are contributing to this anti-homosexual atmosphere by having an ad campaign saying if you are a homosexual you can change your orientation. That prompts people to say, “if I meet someone who’s homosexual, I’m going to take action to try to convince them or try to harm them.” Do you believe that such groups are contributing to this climate?\footnote{Id. (quoting \textit{Today} (NBC television broadcast, Oct. 12, 1998)).}

NBC reporter David Gregory later repeated gay activists’ charges that Shepard’s death was the result of “a new cultural war against gays and lesbians[, a] war declared this summer . . . by a coalition of religious-right groups, including the Christian Coalition, which funded advertisements in major newspapers and commercials on TV promoting a campaign to convert homosexuals to heterosexuality.”\footnote{L. Brent Bozell, III, \textit{The Gay Left’s Willing Mud-Slingers}, Oct. 15, 1998, \url{http://www.mediaresearch.org/columns/news/col19981015.html}.} In his weekly column, L. Brent Bozell, director of the Media Research Center, derided the smear campaign:

In the last five years the news media have forwarded liberal accusations that Gingrich and Bob Dole were somehow responsible for the Oklahoma City bombing; that pro-life activists somehow encouraged the killing of abortionists; and now once again, a “climate” of conservative speech is endangering the safety of average Americans. Any media outlet that claims to be a forum for fair, high-minded debate should reject these outrageous smears. Instead, the press simply promotes the demands of the liberal “climate” cops that conservatives shut up before they kill again.\footnote{Id.}

In a later press release, Bozell noted that mainstream environmentalists were not blamed for creating a hostile climate when the Earth Liberation Front set a Colorado ski resort ablaze, yet
Christians and social conservatives were smeared for the deaths of Shepard and an abortion doctor.\textsuperscript{62} Whether intended or not, the media’s portrayal of Shepard’s murder followed the precise strategy advocated by Kirk and Madsen—silence those who disagree with the agenda by associating them with murderers.\textsuperscript{63} None of the conservative/Christian groups smeared by gay activists and the press had ever condoned mistreatment or persecution of homosexuals—they had, in fact, condemned it. And one should consider the psychopathology of gay-on-gay violence as far more prevalent than “hate crime” attacks by heterosexuals.\textsuperscript{64} The press dutifully ignores that information. Moreover, Wyoming police concluded that while Shepard’s homosexuality factored into the killers’ decision, robbery was the main motive for Shepard’s murder.\textsuperscript{65}

On the other hand, the press ignored the nearly simultaneous rape-murder of a 13-year-old Arkansas boy, Jesse Dirkhising (found “bound, gagged . . . repeatedly raped in a sado–masochistic ritual”) by two trusted homosexual “partners”—friends of the child’s mother.\textsuperscript{66} Likewise, the press ignored the 1997 rape/murder of Jeffrey Curley, age 10, by two homosexual “partners” until the parents filed a $200 million lawsuit against the North American Man/Boy Love Association (NAMBLA).\textsuperscript{67}

\textbf{E. The Hidden Private Face of the Gay Lifestyle}

"Mainstream" gay activists furiously deny any and all attempts to link homosexuality with sexual abuse or violence against children, and understandably so. No group would want to be on the receiving end of the “guilt by association” tactics advocated by Kirk and Madsen. While this article does not suggest that all homosexuals are child molesters,


\textsuperscript{63} Kirk & Madsen, supra note 17. See especially the advertisement proposed with a photograph of Adolph Hitler which reads “Madman. Murder. Homophobe,” repeating a series of lies. Id. at 220-21. Kirk and Madson acknowledge that they know they lie about Hitler’s alleged assault on homosexuals, but do so for an ethical cause. (A recent book by historian Lothar Machtan, \textit{The Hidden Hitler} (2001); documents Hitler’s own long suspected homosexuality.) Id. (emphasis added). They conclude that “Today, Gays Are Still Targets . . . By Attackers Who Feel No Shame.” The “strategy” cited is “[j]am homohatred by linking it to Nazi horror. Make victimizers look bad, while helping straights to see gays as victims and feel protective toward them.” Id.

\textsuperscript{64} See supra text accompanying note 48.


\textsuperscript{66} Peter LaBarbera, supra note 9, at 1-2.

\textsuperscript{67} Mac Daniel, \textit{Curley Lawsuit to Drop Internet Service Provider}, \textit{Boston Globe}, May 18, 2000, at B7.
the overwhelming evidence finds that "mainstream" gay activists and publications regularly flirt—indeed promote—the notion of pederasty (sex between adults and children of the same sex).

For example, from a group that had promised they would never reach out to the vulnerable teen population for recruits, the lead article recently in The Advocate centers on Adam Nobel who allegedly discovered "he was gay when he was just 11 years old." One finds in the same issue under "arts & entertainment," reviews cheering a Broadway play in which their hero "has a soft spot in his heart for paroled convicts and choirboys." Another review discusses how a "[s]eventeen-year old Justin" is involved with an older "gay lothario," which is based on an English film Queer as Folk, glamorizing 15-year-old Nathan's adventures with gay men. Another sexually "absorbing film" is Coming Out, "focusing on the love affair between teenage Mattias and 20-something Philip, who has commitment issues."

Prior to Kirk and Madsen, the bi/homosexual movement was much more candid about pederasty. Their articles, illustrations, and advertisements reflect the open pederasty in the mainstream homosexual publication, The Advocate. An artist for The Advocate, the most popular "mainstream" gay publication, created a "playful character" drawing the face—eyes, nose, lips, cheeks of an innocent, sweet young boy, roughly 10-years-old—onto a muscular adult body, provocatively positioned for sexual titillation to the Advocate readers.

While the Advocate boy is identified in Long Road to Freedom: The Advocate History of the Gay and Lesbian Movement as The Advocate's "unofficial mascot during its early years," Kirk and Madsen almost certainly would have urged that the eroticized boy-man-child be temporarily removed as the men's "mascot." The uncommonly suggestive pose pictorially arguably demonstrates "the national gay & lesbian news magazine's" approval of sodomizing young boys.

69 Id. at 61.
70 Id.
71 Id. at 67.
72 LONG ROAD TO FREEDOM, supra note 5, at xix.
73 Id.
74 Id.
Now, while mainstream "heterosexual" pornographers, like Playboy, have conspiratorially engaged in pedophile tactics,\textsuperscript{75} they have done so outside the cognitive awareness of most of their consumers. While homosexual preferences were blatant, the homosexual community movement presented and presents a public face that is far different from its private face.

Images are critical evidence in a war of images. A Boy Scout illustration is the official mascot adorning \textit{The Queens' Vernacular},\textsuperscript{76} written by homophile language anthropologist Bruce Rodgers. \textit{The Queens' Vernacular} is fully identified as the key dictionary for the homosexual movement, republished in 1979 as [\textit{G}ay \textit{T}alk].\textsuperscript{77} \textit{The Queens' Vernacular} contains 12,000 words of which 254 words illustrate sex with boys—who are generically referred to as "chicken." Some examples of how to handle chicken include: "ready to crack," "pluck some feathers," "chicken dinner," "butchered chicken," etc. One such term "boy-scout queen," is defined as "one who pretends to snooze as he is [expletive] or [expletive]."\textsuperscript{78} Is it any wonder the Boy Scouts have resisted attempts to impose homosexual Scoutmasters?

Advertisements in \textit{The Advocate} were considerably less subtle than the illustrations. For years a full-page "Penetratable Boy Doll" advertisement appeared regularly in \textit{The Advocate}: "Available in 3 Provocative Positions: Choose the Model That Will Fill All Your Needs: 20M Realistic Penis $39.95; 20N Realistic Penis that Vibrates; $44.95; 20P Realistic Penis that Vibrates and [the description redacted here by author] $49.95."\textsuperscript{79}

This was prior to the 1988 movement to adopt the Kirk and Madsen plan for getting "the camel's nose under the tent" by presenting a "normal" homosexual face to the mass media, the power elites and the wider public.\textsuperscript{80}

\textsuperscript{75} See Judith Reisman, \textit{Images of Children, Crime and Violence in Playboy, Penthouse, and Hustler: The Role of Pornography and Media Violence in Family Violence, Sexual Abuse and Exploitation, and Juvenile Delinquency} (1989) (Grant No. 84-JN-AX-K007, U.S. Department of Justice, Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Division). This author directed a two-year content analysis of images of children and crime and violence in Playboy, Penthouse, and Hustler from the years 1953 to 1984. The study documented that, for example, each issue of Playboy averaged 8 images of children and pseudo-children alongside twenty-one images of crime and violence. Moreover, almost all child photographs were sexually explicit and most cartooned children were sexually violated.

\textsuperscript{76} Bruce Rodgers, \textit{The Queens' Vernacular} (1972).

\textsuperscript{77} Bruce Rodgers: [\textit{G}ay \textit{T}alk, A Paragon Book} (1979).

\textsuperscript{78} Rodgers, supra note 76, at 90.

\textsuperscript{79} Advertisement in \textit{Advocate}, Aug. 13, 1975, at 26.

\textsuperscript{80} See supra text accompanying note 38.
Moreover, research (discussed hereinafter) clearly identifies the percentage of child molesters among homosexual and bisexual males as significantly higher than among heterosexuals.\textsuperscript{81} That inconvenient and historically recurring fact is particularly relevant in light of the debate over homosexual leaders in the Boy Scouts.

Yet the press and academia alike largely ignore that issue. At the very least, journalists and "mainstream" gay rights activists seem more concerned with damage to the public image of homosexuals than potential harm to children. That brings to mind the statement of Island and Letellier: "It would be just plain bad press for gays and . . . all bad news needs to be suppressed."\textsuperscript{82}

In their FBI Report on "Child Pornography and Sex Rings," Lanning and Burgess regard one in six sexually abused boys as underreported:

"The numbers for male victimization are more hidden, perhaps because boys are reluctant to admit to being victimized. However, clinical data are increasingly suggesting that boys may be at equal risk for sexual victimization since they are the preferred target of habitual pederasts (men desiring sex with boys, often called pedophiles) and victims of child sex rings."\textsuperscript{83}

On point, Reisman & Johnson content analyzed 10,292 solicitations, Personals/In Search Of (ISO) advertisements (1988-92) in twenty randomly selected issues from two liberal periodicals read largely by affluent, educated, largely white consumers; \textit{The Washingtonian} (2885 ISO ads in this premier, mainstream, high gloss heterosexual periodical) and \textit{The Advocate} (7407 ISO ads in this premier, mainstream, high gloss homosexual periodical).\textsuperscript{84} \textit{The Advocate} is the oldest and most credible "gay" magazine.  (In \textit{Triumphs, Trials, and Errors}, Chris Bull interviewed President William Clinton for \textit{The Advocate}: "With his term coming to a close, President Clinton talks candidly in an exclusive interview about his battles, successes, and future hopes for gay and lesbian rights."\textsuperscript{85})

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{81} David Ferguson et al., \textit{Is Sexual Orientation Related to Mental Health Problems and Suicidality in Young People?}, 10 ARCHIVES GEN. PSYCHIATRY 876-80 (1999); Richard Horrell et al., \textit{A Co-twin Control Study in Adult Men}, 10 ARCHIVES GEN. PSYCHIATRY 866, 867-74 (1999).
\item \textsuperscript{82} ISLAND & LETELLIER, supra note 42, at 10.
\item \textsuperscript{83} Kenneth V. Lanning & Anne W. Burgess, \textit{Child Pornography and Sex Rings}, FBI LAW ENFORCEMENT BULL., Jan. 1984, at 10.
\item \textsuperscript{84} JUDITH A. REISMAN & CHARLES B. JOHNSON, PARTNER SOLICITATION LANGUAGE AS A REFLECTION OF MALE SEXUAL ORIENTATION: THE BRIEFING BOOK 38 (1995). A comparison of demographics of the subscribers of \textit{The Washingtonian} and \textit{The Advocate} find these two male populations a "fit"—except—for sexual orientation. For example, both subscriber incomes were roughly 50% above national average, 70% of the subscribers were college graduates, both traveled abroad, etc. \textit{Id}.
\item \textsuperscript{85} ADVOCATE, November 7, 2000 (cover story).
\end{itemize}
Reisman and Johnson purged female ISO's from *The Washingtonian* database. The remaining "bachelors" (heterosexual Washingtonians versus homosexual Advocates), were character analyzed by categorizing and tabulating the advertisers' partner solicitations. These primary findings were supported by several cross-validation analyses of biographies, travel, self-reports as well as other scholarly studies as cited below.86

- 0.45% Washingtonian heterosexual bachelors (n=2885) were "in search of/offer" man-girl sex.87
- 15% Advocate homosexual bachelors (n=7407) were "in search of/offer" man-boy sex.88

Additional Corroborative Studies:
- 49% of "gay" male biographies (n=166) report sex with boys.89
- 41% of "gay" travel in foreign countries (n=139) report laws on adult sex with boys.90
- 21% of Advocate readers (n=2500) report adult molestation before age 15.91
- 73% of "gay" men report sex with boys 16-19 or younger.92
- 100 boys a year, plus, report molestation by a Boy Scout Leader.93
- 150 boy homosexual victims versus 20 girl heterosexual victims per molester.94
- 153 homosexual offenders assaulted 22,981 boys.95
- 224 heterosexual offenders assaulted 4435 girls.96

---

86 Reisman & Johnson, supra note 84.
87 Id. at 32.
88 Id. at A8.
89 Id.
91 Lever, supra note 53, at 20.
95 Id. at 19.
96 Id.
Psychologist Eugene Abel reported homosexuals "sexually molest young boys with an incidence that is occurring from five times greater than the molestation of girls... [N]onincarcerated child molesters admitted from 23.4 to 281.7 acts per offender... whose targets were males." 98

The rate of homosexual versus heterosexual child sexual abuse is staggering. Abel's data of 150.2 boys abused per male homosexual offender finds no equal (yet) in heterosexual violations of 19.8 girls. 99

These data are fully supported by the pre-Kirk and Madsen homosexual political writings, especially blatant in Karla Jay and Allen Young's two pro-gay books, Out of the Closets100 and The Gay Report. 101 The former reprints "Gay Manifestos" which boldly proclaim "kids can take care of themselves, and are sexual beings way earlier than we'd like to admit... nice bodies and young bodies are attributes, they're groovy," calling for elimination of the age of consent. 102

Jay and Young's finding of fifty to seventy-three percent of homosexual males self-reporting sex with boys103 (even Advocate readers admitted to a twenty-one percent molestation rate by age fifteen). 104 is of a "fit" with the one in six or seven boys child-protection data estimates as boy abuse by bi/homosexual males.105

---

97 Id. at 5-25.
98 REISMAN & JOHNSON, supra note 84, at 56-60.
99 Abel et al., supra note 94, at 5-25.
101 JAY & YOUNG, supra note 92.
102 JAY & YOUNG, supra note 100, at 338, 365.
103 JAY & YOUNG, supra note 92, at 275.
104 See supra text accompanying note 91.
105 William Holmes & Gail Slap, Sexual Abuse of Boys, 280 J. AM. MED. ASS'N 1855 (1998). "Sexual abuse of boys appears to be common." Id. at 1855. "Abused adolescents, particularly those victimized by males, were up to 7 times more likely to self-identify as gay or bisexual than peers who had not been abused," id. at 1859, and "the rate of attempted
In Figure 2 (below), 1991 U.S. Population, Statistical Abstracts\textsuperscript{106} data are compared to the conservative, accepted child sex abuse estimates (one in four girl victims and one in six to eight boy victims)\textsuperscript{107} as well as accepted estimates of the homosexual and heterosexual populations.

suicide was 1.5 to 14 times higher among sexually abused compared with non-abused males.” Id. at 1859. In one study of male college students, prevalence was directly related to abuse definitions. Prevalence was 22% when either a greater age differential or coercion was required.” Id. at 1857.

Prevent Child Abuse America reports that while assessments vary, “[e]stimates suggest that males account for 25-35% of child sexual abuse victims,” and “[s]exual abusers of boys tend to be males...known by the victim, but unrelated to the victim.” Nancy Peddle & Ching-Tung Wang, Current Trends in Child Abuse Prevention, Reporting and Fatalities: The 1999 Fifty State Survey (National Center on Child Abuse Prevention Research, Chicago, IL 2001), available at http://www.preventchildabuse.org/learn_more/research_docs/1999_50_survey.pdf (last visited Apr. 4, 2002).

A study of 93 sexually abused boys revealed that “6 years after contact with their abuser three times as many [of the boys] . . . had psychological or unexplained health problems that lasted more than one year. Problems included . . . depression, anxiety, or substance abuse. . . and suicide.” Alison McCook, Childhood Sex Abuse Can Have Long-lasting Effects, 86 ARCH. DISEASE IN CHILDHOOD 164 (2002).


The homosexual population has been internationally identified in France, England, and the USA as between one and three percent (in a range of 1.5% to 2%).\(^{109}\) Hard data confirm international research and law enforcement reports that the two percent homosexual population harbors a vast pederast boy abuse subculture.\(^{110}\) Again, these data do not suggest that all or most homosexuals abuse children. What they do show, however, is that a statistically significant higher percentage of bi/homosexuals abuse children than do heterosexual males. Since 100% of homosexual males do not sexually assault boys, a vast "gay" subset commits multiple, repeated child sex offenses. It is assumed that much child sexual abuse goes unreported and some unsubstantiated. Hence, the following statistics are to be viewed with caution as reflecting the best available current data.

---

\(^{108}\) REISMAN & JOHNSON, supra note 84 at A-9.


\(^{110}\) Unless one condemns all homosexual males as pederasts (homosexual pedophiles) which is wholly unreasonable, these data suggest a homosexual subculture that is indeed vast and predatory.
Looking at the data in Figure 2, of 90 million American adult males:

- 86-88 million heterosexuals are the population pool from which roughly 8 million girls (25% of all girls) are victimized.\(^\text{111}\)
- 1-2 million homosexuals are the population pool from which roughly 6 to 8 million boys (17% to 24% of all boys) are victimized.\(^\text{112}\)
- Statistically then, 3 to 4.5 boys are victimized per each homosexual male.\(^\text{113}\)
- 1 girl is victimized per each 11 heterosexual males.\(^\text{114}\)

Extant homophile studies also support these high rates of abuse:

- 50% of white homosexuals had 500+ sexual partners, another one third averaged 100-500.\(^\text{115}\)
- 25% of white homosexuals admitted sex with boys, "sixteen years old or younger."\(^\text{116}\)
- 50%+ of AIDS victims (n=279) had oral or anal sex with a male by age 16 (1981-82).\(^\text{117}\)
- 20% of AIDS victims (n=279) had sex with a male by age 10 (1981-82).\(^\text{118}\)
- 21% Advocate respondents (n=2500) "were sexually abused by an adult by age 15."\(^\text{119}\)

*The Advocate* admitted that by 1991 almost 10,000 vulnerable, lonely boys (discussed later) initiated into homosexual acts allegedly via "consensual" sodomy, are now nonconsensual AIDS statistics.\(^\text{120}\) Due to better medications, says the executive director of an AIDS resource center, "[d]eaths may be down, but infections are not."\(^\text{121}\) Why? "Young men think of themselves as immortal." Oral sodomy, a standard homosexual act, was found to be "the lone risk factor (i.e. likely cause) in

\(^{111}\) STATISTICAL ABSTRACT, supra note 106. U.S. Population data on boys and girls according to government statistics are 33,378,000 boys and 31,767,000 girls under age 18. *Id.*

\(^{112}\) *Id.*

\(^{113}\) *Id.*

\(^{114}\) *Id.*

\(^{115}\) ALAN P. BELL & MARTIN S. WEINBERG, HOMOSEXUALITIES 85 (1978).

\(^{116}\) *Id.*


\(^{118}\) *Id.*

\(^{119}\) Lever, supra note 53, at 20.


\(^{121}\) The New Face of AIDS, LOUISVILLE ECCENTRIC OBSERVER, May 17, 2000, at 15.
8 percent of HIV infections" while anal sodomy "soared by more than 40 percent" in one year, 1997-98 . . . 800 teen-agers (in many cases an entire high school) will be contracting HIV every year [in Kentucky]." 122

The current dearth of data on causation of pediatric AIDS illustrates the deliberate censorship of politically incorrect research. Dr. George Rekers of the Department of Neuropsychiatry at the University of South Carolina, School of Medicine, confirmed Dr. Loraine Day's observations123 regarding the difficulties encountered by researchers who seek funding or publication if their results are unacceptable to homosexual authorities.124 Government agencies, including the National Institutes of Health, often 'stack' review committees with homosexual scientists and/or gay rights supporters, thereby insuring that politically incorrect research is not funded.125

Perhaps the most worrisome of these anti-science activities has been the limited amount of governmental research examining the connection between early child sexual abuse and pediatric AIDS. Dr. Laura Gutman, a respected child sexual abuse researcher, and her colleagues found in their preliminary government funded studies that 14.6% of children in the study with AIDS had "been sexually abused . . . . Transmission by child sexual abuse was the most frequent of the proven modes of acquisition of HIV in this population."126 Twelve males were identified (n=8) or suspected (n=4) of being perpetrators.127 The lack of funding for such studies may deserve a congressional inquiry. To hide the fact that most AIDS children appear to be infected by bi/homosexuals, the "World AIDS Day" artfully reports that "16% of adolescents with AIDS, aged 13 through 19 . . . have been infected through heterosexual contact,"128 rather than that 84% of AIDS children are infected by male bi/homosexual sex abuse.

122 Id.
124 Rekers, supra note 14. Rekers concludes, "It remains to be seen if the mental health professions will be able to readdress the issue of homosexuality from a logical and scientific perspective in the near future . . . . The use (or abuse) of research may continue to be influenced by ideological factors in American culture." Id.
125 Id.
127 Id.
Figure 3: A Minimum of 59% of Boys Infected by Men

![HIV Transmission Routes in Adolescents Aged 13-21 in the United States][1]

- Male Homosexuals/bisexual males: 51%
- Transfusion recipients: 22%
- Male homosexual intravenous drug users: 8%
- Heterosexuals: 8%
- Intravenous drug users: 6%
- Other: 5%

*These statistics are taken from the study "AIDS Among Adolescents," published in the October 1990 issue of the American Journal of Diseases of Children.

The Advocate published this table, (Figure 3), identifying at least fifty-nine percent of adolescents with AIDS directly infected by adult bi/homosexuals. It is reprinted here verbatim. (Individuals over 18 generally are not considered “children” per se, but one should recall that AIDS typically manifests itself several years after infection).

Figure 4: Boys Dead/Dying From Abuse by Men With AIDS

![Boys Dead/Dying From Abuse by Men With AIDS][2]

By 1991 the Advocate cited CDC data identifying 7166 boys and 1537 girls (also commonly infected by bi/homosexual boyfriends) dying of AIDS. Where are the thousands of child sex murder convictions for the men who fatally infected these boys and girls?

---

[129] Brownworth, supra note 120, at 41.
Where is the outcry on behalf of these children in the establishment media? Instead of prosecution of their rapists-murderers, schools provide carte blanche to predators by implying that boys with AIDS were "gay" from childhood. By labeling boy AIDS victims "consenting gays," homosexual spokesmen "blame the victims," blaming the boy’s own death upon the young "initiate."\(^{131}\)

Even Gebe Kruks, a homosexual leader who protested these violations, does not call for the arrests of the children’s killers. Kruks wrote, "Gay boys . . . having sex for money, shelter, love--they are at risk . . . Gay men view these boys as recreational toys to be used. I have heard many stories of HIV-positive men having unprotected sex with boys. They don’t think it matters."\(^{132}\)

\[F. AIDS: What the Government Isn’t Telling You\]

Further evidence of the influence of gay activists over research and journalism abounds. Former Chief of Orthopedic Surgery at San Francisco General Hospital, Dr. Lorraine Day, in *AIDS: What the Government Isn’t Telling You*, writes, "In our present academic atmosphere, controlled by grants and, hence, by politics that often are special interest politics, it is all too advantageous for someone with a yen for recognition and advancement to publish a ‘scientific’ article that toes the party line."\(^{133}\)

Dr. Day documents some militant homosexuals’ efforts to infect the national blood banks, citing the collection of blood in the gay Castro district of San Francisco. "Special interest blackmail dictates policy . . . . The likes of Castro blood drives are palmed off as humanitarian enterprises when, in fact, they are concessions to gay politics."\(^{134}\) Dr. Day cites an excerpt from the article by Robert Schwab, former president of the Texas Human Rights Foundation in the *Dallas Gay News*, May 20, 1983, recommending "blood terrorism": "There has come the idea that if research money (for AIDS) is not forthcoming at a certain level by a certain date, all gay males should give blood. . . . Whatever action is required to get national attention is valid. If that includes blood terrorism, so be it."\(^{135}\)

\(^{131}\) Gilbert Herdt, ‘Coming Out’ as a Rite of Passage, in *GAY CULTURE IN AMERICA, supra* note 117, at 41. "For the first time, an institutionalized process of initiating and socializing youths [into the homosexual movement] emerged." *Id.* at 34.

\(^{132}\) Brownworth, *supra* note 120, at 40-41.

\(^{133}\) *DAY, supra* note 123, at 5.

\(^{134}\) *Id.* at 63.

\(^{135}\) *Id.* at 65 (quoting *DALLAS GAY NEWS*, May 20, 1983).
And in 1990, while the Los Angeles Times quietly noted that twenty-four percent of intravenous drug abusers studied who donated blood to the blood bank had HIV,136 no data appeared on what percentage of this group was bi/homosexual. In AIDS: The Unnecessary Epidemic, Dr. Stanley Monteith, M.D. documents "[t]he frightening story telling how the AIDS and Gay Lobbies have been able to prevent physicians from monitoring or controlling this epidemic."137

Moreover, the effort to bring AIDS into the heterosexual world as the only way to ever get a cure appears as part of the homosexual literature. Homosexual activist Randy Shilts, in And the Band Played On, documents the efforts of "gay organizations" that "firmly opposed taking any action to screen blood donors saying the screening would pose serious civil rights questions,"138 Shilts fairly reported, "hemophiliac organizations were stunned by the gay perspective. What about a hemophiliac's right to life? They asked."139 Once again, gay activists demonstrated that other people's lives are less important than promoting their own socio-sexual agenda.  

G. The Gay Academic Union - "Zap And Hide"

The censorious tactics of gay activists are not limited to the media. Academia has been as bad, if not worse. In his seminal work, The Politics of Homosexuality, Toby Marotta, an "out" Harvard homosexual Ph.D. describes "[h]ow the media and establishment politicians were used to build gay power."140 Marotta states that in the 1970s, members of the Gay Activists Alliance–trained in "zapping . . . GAA's trademark,"141 of any who rebuffed homosexuality142–formed the "Gay Academic Union," (GAU) made up of faculty and students in major universities. Like the National Institute of Health (NIH), professional journals have commonly assigned GAU and other homosexual peer reviewers to research issues touching on homosexuality, assuring a quick death to possible unfavorable findings.143

136 Id. at 84 (citing L.A. TIMES, Apr. 25, 1990).
139 Id.
141 Id. at 137, 151.
142 Id. at 319.
The GAU has long fought for domination of its worldview within the academic community. One popularized establishment academician, Kate Millet, counseled the members of the GAU "we are ... powered [by] and have lived and survived on the value, the energy of our [homosexual] passion." Millet argued that the nation should be changed to accept this "army of lovers" who will bring "the power of eros" into the lives of all Americans. In her interview in Paidika, The Journal of Paedophilia's "Special Woman's Issue," Millet calls for "an emancipation proclamation for children" to legalize what she calls children's "non-exploitive" sex with adults or children, "probably heroic and very wonderful."

As mentioned previously, researchers and academics with politically incorrect results or opinions often find their careers at a sudden dead end. Moreover, college campuses–ostensibly the bastion of intellectual freedom–have become a haven for violent homosexual extremists.

Ex-gay speakers and those critical of the homosexual movement face such silencing everywhere. The outspoken and brilliant "pagan" lesbian Camille Paglia described her reaction to the tactics employed by ACT-UP, a radical homosexual organization:

ACT-UP's hysteria made me reconsider those vilified therapists and ministers who think change of homosexual orientation is possible and whose meetings are constantly disrupted by gay agitators. Is gay identify so fragile that it cannot bear the thought that some people may not wish to be gay? ... 

... 

If a gay man wants to marry and sire children, why should he be harassed by gay activists ... ? [Counseling should not be] strafed by gay artillery fire of reverse moralism. Heterosexual love ... is in sync with cosmic forces. Not everyone has the stomach for daily war with nature.

Paglia's observation that "[h]eterosexual love ... is in sync with cosmic forces" is one that is not supported in the current political climate. One can see the development of a two-tiered pattern of suppression: (1) Bi/homosexuals at the National Institute of Health or on peer review committees try to quash funding or publication of studies by

---

144 BETTY BERZON, PERMANENT PARTNERS ix (1988) (quoting Kate Millet, Address to the Gay Academic Union of Los Angeles, CA (Nov. 1978)).
145 Id.
147 CAMILLE PAGLIA, VAMPS AND TRAMPS 77-79 (1994).
148 Id. at 79.
researchers who appear to have negative findings on homosexuality;\footnote{See Monteth, supra note 137. Even the President of the United States, Ronald Reagan, was unable to influence public health policies in this nation during his tenure in office. . . . Directives which were sent from the White House to public health agencies were ignored . . . . C. Everett Koop, and others, [countered to] local authorities that they should not carry out the President’s recommendation. \textit{Id.} at 15.}

(2) Should an occasional study or article of homosexual harms get published, the press commonly ignores ("spikes") those studies, focusing on those that are favorable to the politically correct point of view.

**H. Hide The Causes of Bi/Homosexuality**

Absent any biological evidence of genetic predisposition, youths who present as bi/homosexual commonly exhibit classical symptoms of childhood trauma (parental violence, alcoholism, sex abuse and/or other dysfunctions).\footnote{Those engaged in highly promiscuous sexual conduct are found to have a high propensity for drug and alcohol use, as well as early sexual abuse. As noted in our cites on \textit{The Advocate} self-reports, 21% of their respondents admitted to sex abuse by age 15. \textit{See supra} note 85 and accompanying text. Moreover, Island & Letellier report their findings, that: "[O]nly substance abuse and AIDS adversely affect more gay men, making domestic violence the third largest health problem facing gay men today." \textit{Island} & \textit{Letellier}, \textit{supra} note 42, at 1. These behaviors are regularly identified in the professional literature, see, e.g., Jeffrey Satinover, Homosexuality and the Politics of Truth 44, 97, 105, 106-108 (1996), as reflecting early childhood trauma. Satinover notes the homosexual effort to deny such etiology, seen in the 126 detailed questions asked of lesbians and "gay" men, including self image and types of and location of sex practices and the like by Jay and Young, in \textit{The Gay Report}, \textit{supra} note 92. Jay and Young, (like Gagnon directed) ten years later, the authors avoided any questions that might reveal early sexual or other kinds of trauma as causative in the homosexual respondent’s conduct. \textit{Id.} Holmes and Slap provide further data on the comparatively high rates of dysfunction among homosexualized boys including suicidal ideation, drugs, crimes, sexual and other disorders. W.C. Holmes & G.B. Slap, Sexual Abuse of Boys: Definition, Prevalence, Correlates, Sequelae, and Management, 280 J. Am. Med. Ass’n 1855, 1858-59 (1998).} Concerned lest the public become aware of the traumatic histories of most allegedly "gay" youth, National Research Council advisor and Kinsey researcher John Gagnon directed sex researchers to hide the causes of homosexuality:

- The wish of some gay men and lesbians to locate the origins for their desires in . . . biology or early experience deserves a respectful response even though I think it to be wrong. . . .
- . . . Attempts to placate the oppressors will only invite further persecution. The source of freedom in everyday life for gay men and lesbians is continued vigilance and practical political action.\footnote{John Gagnon, \textit{Science and the Politics of Pathology}, 23 J. Sex Res. 120, 122-23 (1987).}

Gagnon’s mandate is not science, but propaganda. Eager to conceal any causes rooted in bad parenting or early sex abuse which suggest
adult irresponsibility, even crime, gay activists formed groups like PROJECT 10, PFLAG (Parents and Friends of Lesbians and Gays), and GLBT (Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual And Transgendered) youth. Since historical, cross-cultural, literary and testimonial evidence clearly identifies a homosexual etiology of family disorders, it is understandable that many parents zealously insist their child was "born that way," avoiding the personal blame and public disgrace inherent in having placed their offspring in harm's way. But, how did two and one-half percent of America’s leaders, largely America’s intellectual community, adopt these radical new views on sex and homosexuality?

III. PRE AND POST "KINSEY ERAS"

To answer that question we turn to Alfred C. Kinsey. In 1989 the prestigious National Research Council proclaimed that sex science "can be divided somewhat crudely into the pre-Kinsey and post-Kinsey eras." At the time of this writing, 20th Century Fox announced plans for a major film on Kinsey’s life, with Tom Hanks or Harrison Ford glamorizing the Indiana sex revolutionary.

On April 23, 2000, *The New York Times Book Review* celebrated the fact that Indiana University had given America "the man whose studies started the sex revolution." And, on April 17, 2000, *Salon’s* Scott McLemee wrote:

Kinsey’s effect on society was profound and enduring. It did not rise and fall with his bestseller status . . . [but became] the manifestoes of sexual revolution and the counterculture . . . .

The history of sex in America falls into two large, unequal, yet clearly defined periods. The first era belonged to the Puritans, the Victorians . . . . This epoch of libidinal prohibition lasted until Jan. 4, 1948. The following day, Professor Alfred C. Kinsey of Indiana

---

152 PROJECT 10, organized by an "out" lesbian, Virginia Uribe, at Fairfax High School, was the first school organization openly allowed to use the school to seek alleged "gay" as well as bisexual or otherwise sexually confused youth. Kinsey’s false data claiming 10% of men are homosexual were employed to help direct children to gay activist organizations for homosexual "counseling." PFLAG AND GLBT and other organizations actively seek out youths in schools nationwide to bring into the homosexual movement under cover of "saving" children from suicide due to their alleged sexual orientation.

153 Kotler, supra note 2. Kotler cites how 2.5% sway roughly 13% of the public early on who later move another 34% and so on, until a majority accept and adapt to the proffered product or idea. Id.


published "Sexual Behavior in the Human Male." Whereupon, as the expression has it, the earth moved.  

Since 1948, Kinsey has continually fashioned the American libido. As The North American Man-Boy Love Association (NAMBLA), the world's largest pederast organization, says any who would understand the modern theater of "sexual orientation" must "know Kinsey's work" for implicit in it is the struggle for pedophile control of America's children. "Gay liberationists in general, and boy-lovers in particular, should know Kinsey's work and hold it dear."  

"Implicit in Kinsey is the struggle we fight today."  

Why is Kinsey's role as the father of sex "science" important to any debate about homosexuality and sex education in the schools? The mandate to teach bi/homosexual and heterosexual experimentation as legitimate for children and youths originated with Kinsey's data, which formulated a revolutionary belief in child and juvenile sexual need and entitlement. Many Americans born post WWII may not recall the Kinsey team and their reports, Sexual Behavior in the Human Male (hereinafter Male) and Sexual Behavior in the Human Female. A vast and meticulously orchestrated media-relations campaign made Kinsey a household name and heralded him as a folk hero in over seventy percent of the nation's press. 

Life, Look, Time and every other major mainstream magazine promoted his bold sex "findings" as heroic scientific truth. Indiana University had set the stage by posing zoologist Kinsey as a conservative Republican academic, a family man, who serendipitously stumbled onto sex research only to discover that while Americans pretended to be virtuous, virginal, monogamous, and faithfully heterosexual, they were really hypocritical and promiscuous. Kinsey claimed ninety-five percent of men were really sex offenders and from ten percent to thirty-seven percent were occasional homosexual adventurers. Camille Paglia wrote insightfully about Kinsey's fraudulent ten percent finding and its service to the homosexual movement.

159 Id.
160 ALFRED C. KINSEY ET AL., SEXUAL BEHAVIOR IN THE HUMAN MALE (1948).
161 ALFRED C. KINSEY ET AL., SEXUAL BEHAVIOR IN THE HUMAN FEMALE (1953).
162 REISMAN, supra note 27, at 40-43.
163 Id.
164 See id., especially Chapters 3, 5 and 6.
165 See id. at 87-105, especially Chapter 5, "Eliminating Fathers."
The ten percent figure, servilely repeated by the media, was pure propaganda, and it made me, as a scholar, despise gay activists for their unscrupulous disregard for the truth. [Homosexuals were too well aware that one in ten of the men that they met were not "gay."] Their fibs and fabrications continue, now about the still-fragmentary evidence for a genetic link to homosexuality and for homosexual behavior among animals.166

As Pagilia suggests, Kinsey was long ago exposed as a scientific fraud. Both of Kinsey’s most recent admiring biographers confessed he was a sadistic bi/homosexual who seduced his male students and coerced his wife, his staff, and the staff’s wives to perform for and with him in illegal pornographic films made in the family attic.167 Kinsey and his mates, Wardell Pomeroy, Clyde Martin, and Paul Gebhard, had “front” marriages, which concealed their strategies to supplant what they saw as a narrow procreational Judeo Christian era with a promiscuous “anything goes” bi/gay pedophile paradise.168 We now know Kinsey, for example, “was deeply influenced by five pedophile headmasters who were quite clear they had very warm relationships with young adolescent boys of twelve or thirteen” in one New England area.169 An early adherent and advocate of masturbation, Kinsey suffered an untimely death due, at least in part, to “orchitis,” a lethal infection in his testicles that followed years of sadistic, orgiastic “self-abuse.”170 Kinsey’s obsessive, brutally masochistic masturbation methods appear to have assisted in his early demise.

Kinsey solicited and encouraged pedophiles, at home and abroad, to sexually violate from 317 to 2035 infants and children for his alleged data on normal “child sexuality.”171 Many of the crimes against children (oral and anal sodomy, genital intercourse and manual abuse) committed for Kinsey’s research are quantified in his own graphs and charts.172 For example, “Table 34” on page 181 of Kinsey’s Male volume, claims to be a “scientific” record of “multiple orgasm in pre-adolescent males.”173 Here, infants as young as five months were timed with a stop watch for “orgasm” by Kinsey’s “technically trained” aides, with one four-year-old
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tested twenty-four consecutive hours for an alleged twenty-six orgasms. Sex educators, pedophiles, and their advocates commonly quote these child “data” to prove children’s need for homosexual, heterosexual, and bisexual satisfaction via “safe-sex” education. These data are also regularly used to “prove” children are sexual from birth.

Kinsey’s closet team 1) “forced” subjects to give the desired answers to their sex questions, 2) secretly trashed three quarters of their research data, and 3) based their claims about normal males on a roughly eighty-six percent aberrant male population including 200 sexual psychopaths, 1400 sex offenders and hundreds each of prisoners, male prostitutes, and promiscuous homosexuals. Moreover, so few normal women would talk to them that the Kinsey team labeled women who lived over a year with a man “married,” reclassifying data on prostitutes and other unconventional women as “Susie Homemaker.”

How could a dry, scientific tome be hyped globally to bestseller status and draw no questions from skeptical reporters (there was no NLGJA in those years) or scientists as to where children for human sexual experiments were obtained when the nation was still reeling from Nuremberg? In his Male volume, Kinsey defined children’s torment (“screaming,” “writhing in pain,” “fainting,” “convulsions,” etc.) as “orgasms” for infants too young to speak. Who sexually tested these children? Where were the parents? Among thousands of international reviews of the Kinsey Reports, no one asked these questions of the man who, as Gore Vidal declared, was “the most famous man for a decade,” and who, more specifically for this writing, is the man the homosexual and pedophile movement thank for their advance.

Dr. E. Michael Jones, in his book Libido Dominandi: Sexual Liberation and Political Control, discusses the effort to suppress this author’s early findings on the Kinsey fraud and on child pornography—
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an effort that directly resembles the current media spin controls and suppression of critical findings on homosexuality:

On July 23, 1981, Reisman delivered a paper entitled "The Scientist as a Contributing Agent to Child Sexual Abuse: A Preliminary Study," in which she brought up for the first time in the thirty-two years since it had been published, the material on child sexuality in Tables 30-34 of the Kinsey Male volume and wondered how this data could have been obtained without involvement in criminal activity. Before giving her report, Reisman had written to Male volume co-author Paul Gebhard to ask about the data in Tables 30-34. Gebhard wrote back saying that the data had been obtained from parents, school teachers, and some male homosexuals, including "some of Kinsey's men" who had used "manual and oral techniques" to catalogue the number of orgasms they said they could stimulate in infants and children. Virtually the entire sex industry-sex research establishment worldwide was in attendance at the meeting in Jerusalem, but the reaction to the talk was silence, stunned or sullen or otherwise, until a Swedish reporter wondered out loud why the assembled experts had nothing to say.

The silence was understandable. Just about everyone in attendance had cited Kinsey as their mentor, and some even knew about the criminal activity involved in Kinsey's research. They all knew that Kinsey's research was the basis of their "science," which is to say, the legitimizing basis for everything they did. Kinsey was the foundation of that house of cards. If what he had done could be discredited, it threatened the sexual empire which had been built since his death and upon which they all depended for a livelihood. Later when word got out that Reisman had government money to pursue her thesis and show a link between Kinsey's exploitation of child "sexuality" and Playboy, Penthouse, and Hustler's exploitation of the same thing, stunned silence turned to determined, if behind the scenes, action.184

Notre Dame Law Professor Charles E. Rice later commented that this author's study "supports the conclusion that Alfred Kinsey's research was contrived, ideologically driven and misleading. Any judge, legislator or other public official who gives credence to that research is guilty of malpractice and dereliction of duty."185 Yet in the nearly twenty years (1982-2000) since Kinsey was exposed as a fraud, Westlaw journal articles cite Kinsey positively roughly 650 times—from hate crimes and homosexual marriage to child custody and rape. The Social Science and Science Citation Indices reference Kinsey roughly 6000 times over this same period. On the evidence, Kinsey is far and away the
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most influential sex scientist in the law.186 Fully 100% of the sex science citations in the original 1955 American Law Institute’s "Model Penal Code" cite Kinsey’s bogus data on "normal sexuality"—alive today in courts and legislatures.187

Pioneering the current Kirk and Madsen model, Kinsey’s critics were largely silenced and/or slandered by Kinsey and the establishment media (which celebrated his discoveries on the cover of seventy percent of American newspapers)188 and "jammed" critics as sexually repressed, ignorantly religious, mean spirited, unscientific, and backward versus Kinsey (using many photographs) as a normal, middle-American husband and father and a scholarly seeker of truth. Continued media applause desensitized the public. Kinsey was praised as the prophet of the sexual revolution. In her latest book on the subject,189 this author argues that America’s growing libidinous pathologies—taught as "safe" and "safer" sex in primary, secondary, and graduate schools and reflected in our fine and popular arts, the press, law, and public policy—largely mirror the documented190 sexual psychopathologies of the Kinsey Institute team itself.191

This "free sex" movement coarsened the populace by demoting sex from its rarified, idealistic, marital pedestal and private expression into ye old pagan rituals of public display, discourse and performance. One of the end results of Kinsey’s "grand scheme" would be the revival of the traffic in both adult and child heterosexual and homosexual prostitution and pornography.

IV. IMPLEMENTING THE KINSEY DATA

"The White Slave Trade," i.e. sex traffic in women and children, was crippled in 1912 by the Mann Act,192 based on the realization that, unless they are prematurely interfered with, or suffer from genitally related hygenic or medical problems, preadolescent children are normally asexual and deserving of governmental protection from adult sexual predators. Child prostitution centers closed everywhere and venereally-infected and dying children were nursed and buried by women’s church
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groups. However, after Kinsey the child sex industry rose again, carried forward by two Kinsey acolytes. A virginal college youth, Hugh Hefner, read Kinsey and became his "pamphleteer" aiming to legitimize adult and, more subtly, child pornography.

Harry Hay, a bisexual molested as a fourteen-year-old boy, read Kinsey's claim that ten to thirty-seven percent of men are sometime-homosexuals, left his wife and children, and began the campaign to legitimize sodomy. Hay elevated sodomy from a defining pathological and illegal act to a state of being. Homosexuals, Hay said, are an oppressed "minority" deserving special or civil rights, thereby spawning the "gay" rights movement.

Kinsey's anthem of sexual promiscuity without consequences would be played out over the decades, but Kinsey's data deliberately covered up the disastrous consequences of deprivatizing sex to promote greater "public sexual expression"—increased prostitution, public sexual solicitations, sodomy and obscenity, venereal disease, illegitimacy, abortion and finally drug abuse, suicide, rape, homicide, child sexual abuse, and a myriad of other social disorders. Absent bad consequences, Kinsey, Hefner, Hay, The American Law Institute, etc., said that laws restricting public or private sexual activity were obsolete. After 1948, pressured by media and scholars, and with the legal profession parroting the Rockefeller-funded Kinsey, the omnipresent "vice squads" rapidly disappeared. Kinsey admirer and Princeton historian David Allyn explained:

[Kinsey undermined] the very legitimacy of public morality itself . . .
In the post-WWII era, experts abandoned the concept of "public morals," a concept which had underpinned the social control of American sexuality from the 1870's onward . . . . Sexual Behavior in the Human Male was virtually silent when it came to questions of public sexuality; this silence served Kinsey's deregulatory ends.

"Consent" has become the moral imperative surrounding sexual conduct. Not only has "consent" legalized unwed sex between adults ("fornication"), but implicitly between youths as well, justifying "safe sex" instruction to schoolchildren and even allowing Harvard Ph.D. John
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Money, Johns Hopkins Professor Emeritus, to claim that should a boy die, having been party to "a death pact, [as] a couple who are sadomasochistic," perhaps that should be legal if "it was totally a consensual relationship."200

Kinsey had a profound impact on psychiatry. Before Kinsey, psychiatry had a long tradition of believing that "too much sex is a bad thing . . . that masturbation is unhealthy, and psycho-dynamically oriented thinkers have written on Don Juanism and nymphomania."201 But, Kinsey and his followers taught clinicians that "sexual hypofunction . . . is natural, healthy, and pleasurable. Mastery of masturbation is now seen as an important first lesson for the patient or couple undergoing sex therapy."202 Moreover, his pedophile data203 claiming that children are "sexual from birth," are carved into the fabric of science, pedagogy, and law. This "fact" is still taught worldwide to psychiatrists, pediatricians, psychologists, sexologists, pedagogues, law and justice professionals, media moguls, theologians, public policy makers, and other movers and shakers—moms and dads.

Kinsey’s pedophile data "proving" infants and children capable and deserving of orgasm from birth, and homosexuality and sodomy as normal, have justified teaching "sexual diversity" from grade school onward. With childbirth the only undesirable sexual outcome, and sodomy and masturbation (alone, in dyads, or groups) taught as harmless contraceptives and part of a well-rounded sexual repertoire, schoolchildren would be smoothly socialized into the hypersexual culture.

V. CRAFTING BI/HOMOSEXUAL YOUTH

While many Kinsey followers suggest sexual orientation is innate, the tactics of gay activists themselves suggest otherwise. The following strategies for crafting "gay" children suggest homosexuality requires vigilant and organized wooing that rivals the recruitment techniques of the United States Marine Corps. Children, (labeled "initiates" as in
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202 Id. See also DONALD BLACK, The Epidemiology and Phenomenology of Compulsive Sexual Behavior, 5 CNS SPECTRUMS 26 (2000), available at http://qube.oldsaclaw.com/~svp/CBSEpidemiologyBlack00.pdf (last visited Apr. 4, 2002) who cites to the Kinsey "data" on total sexual outlet (TSO), using these "data" as the touchstone for normal sexual conduct. Kinsey et al, who developed the concept of TSO, reported that the median (TSO) was 2.14 for men from adolescence through age 30 years, and 1.99 for all men. TSO means how much one masturbates, has intercourse with men, women, children, objects or animals. Kinsey would add up all one’s orgasms and the higher the number the healthier the person. (Many child molesters would have a very high "healthy" TSO.)
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prostitution or religion) are weaned from their "old fashioned" parents, "first into a self-affirming semisecret group, then by collective socialization into a 'gay' cultural system,"\textsuperscript{204} a form of religio-sexuality cult. San Francisco State University Sexuality Professor and homosexual advocate Gilbert Herdt spells this out in his anthology \textit{Gay Culture in America}.\textsuperscript{205} Hundreds of homosexual activists who "range in age from twenty-three to the late forties,"\textsuperscript{206} "aid" child initiates. Homosexual advocate Frederick Lynch describes the function of advisors in the suburban context.

What has not been brought out fully in some other coming out studies is the role of guide, teacher, or "helping hand" in either the signification state, the coming-out-stage, or both . . . . [T]he often benign and helpful role that older, more experienced homosexual men play with regard to younger [males].\textsuperscript{207}

Left is an ad by the Mulinomab County Children & Youth Commission offering "rewarding opportunities" to those seeking to aid "sexual minority youth," etc., with the Commission (like many homosexual "youth" groups) offering scholarships to those children who will attend their events.

In their New York University Press classic, \textit{Lavender Culture},\textsuperscript{208} editors Jay and Young present Gerald Hannon address "Gay Youth and the Question of Consent."\textsuperscript{209} This prestigious homosexual political manifesto candidly describes the organized homosexual campaign to recruit children. "I believe . . . we have to behave in a certain way vis-à-vis young people. I believe that means we have to proselytize . . . . The answer is to proselytize. Aggressively so."\textsuperscript{210} The homosexual movement has long advocated ending age of consent laws.\textsuperscript{211} Shocked that the "gay" youth group he

\textsuperscript{204} Herdt, \textit{supra} note 131, at 39-44.

\textsuperscript{205} \textit{Id.} at 47-50.

\textsuperscript{206} \textit{Id.} at 48 (emphasis added).

\textsuperscript{207} Frederick Lynch, \textit{Nonghetto Gays: An Ethnography of Suburban Homosexuals, in GAY CULTURE IN AMERICA, supra note 117, at 175.}

\textsuperscript{208} Gerald Hannon, \textit{Gay Youth and the Question of Consent, in LAVENDER CULTURE} 358 (Karla Jay & Allen Young eds., 1994).

\textsuperscript{209} \textit{Id.} at 342-64.

\textsuperscript{210} \textit{Id.} at 362-63.

guides did not fully favor eliminating age of consent laws, Gerald Hannon says the boys will learn better soon:

Why is sexual childhood necessary? By that I mean why is it necessary to maintain the myth that children are not sexual beings? It is maintained, after all, in the face of rather massive evidence to the contrary. Infants in their cribs have orgasms—Kinsey documented them in babies less than a year old...212

Simply being young does not endow you with a perspective on social change. That has to be learned. Consciousness-raising is crucial...213

During the recent gay conference in Toronto, the matter of age-of-consent laws surfaced for reconsideration. Their abolition is one of the demands of the National Gay Rights Coalition (NGRC)...its contingent should contain a few teenagers...The question is where to get them. The answer, again, is to proselytize. At present, we do not have organizations that are chock-a-block full of young people...To attract young people to the gay movement in large numbers should be the challenge to the next phase of the movement. It is a challenge we have set ourselves...214

As a result of such cult proselytizing in schools nationwide, many homosexual groups are now "chock-a-block full of young people."215

"Adult advisors" also answer the challenge to what Hannon called the next phase, "to attract young people to the gay movement in large numbers."216 Child "initiates" (many who die early of AIDS)217 are
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courted, given a pseudo-home and family, welcomed, wooed, held, and embraced. Herdt says:

[T]he adult advisors, in their twenties and thirties are around.

The adolescent newcomer's reception is also unexpectedly warm, remarkably praising and positive, for advisers make every effort to acknowledge the courage of "new people" in joining the group. . . . Each person is loudly applauded.

. . . [A]ll "new people," those who have come for four Saturdays or less, are ushered into a smaller separate room, where they huddle with one or two advisors as an isolate.\(^{219}\)

They laugh at "straights," derided as "breeders,"\(^{219}\) share clothes, are loaned money, have "fun with friends, and adult-supervised learning:"\(^{220}\)

Their seclusion past, they enter the larger Horizons' group as public initiates . . . . Their feeling of belonging makes the heterosexual conventions they must obey at home, school, or work seem unreal, as if they belong to another time and place . . . . Parents are a constant source of exasperation and amusement.\(^{221}\)

Children's past values of family and nation are treated with contempt and ridiculed as narrow-minded and shallow.

Cults commonly separate and isolate children from their parents and family members, those who might reveal to them the harm of their new "friends." Protective adults must attend to the "gay" press proclamation that, "the [erotic] love between men and boys is at the foundation of homosexuality."\(^{222}\) Herdt, editor of Gay and Lesbian Youth,\(^{223}\) agrees. He explains that laws against adult sex with children are irrelevant, citing a female psychologist's view that as culture shapes behavior, "age itself becomes an 'empty' variable."\(^{224}\)

Herdt articulated the homosexual movement's call to eliminate the words "child or childhood"\(^{225}\) from the vocabulary in The Journal of Pedophilia, while another homosexual academic notes some "small boys are made into big strong men through pederasty . . . . Aspiring initiates firmly believe this."\(^{226}\)
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\(^{224}\) Id. Herdt explains, "When we ask whether gay men are born and not made, we must simultaneously wonder whether gay culture has, paradoxically, been made and not born?" Id. at 3.

\(^{225}\) Interview: Gilbert Herdt, J. PEDOPHILA, Winter 1993, at 12.
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Writing on the role of adult homosexual "coaches," Fredrick Lynch says, "Indeed, in this study five subjects (boys) were taken to bars or otherwise initiated into the gay subculture by men who were either the same age or older." Writing in After the Ball, gay rights strategists Kirk and Madsen are candid about what commonly happens to boys in "gay bars" with "men" the "same age or older" than a teenage boy. "[U]nless we have a young, handsome face and tight body, and dress in fashionable clothing, the minute we step through the door of a gay bar we learn who the real queer bashers are: us." Every year, the ideals of countless naïve, fresh-faced youths are—metaphorically, if not literally—gang-raped in the bars by older, more cynical predators. . . . Wanting to retrieve something from the ruin of their hopes . . . sooner or later, there they are at the Greyhound bus station, waiting to greet the next busload of farm boys from Peoria. . . .

One very young New York City boy, homosexually active since puberty, spent his entire sixteenth birthday riding trains through the city and weeping for his lost youth.

If taking boys into gay bars (which, by the way, is illegal) where men pick up the youngsters for quickie sex is "benign," what does Lynch mean by "otherwise initiated into the gay subculture?"

### A. The Induction Process

The "gay" child requires sustained contact with one or more homosexual "coaches" to establish the child's "sexual identity as a homosexual," to facilitate "the process of coming out." A critical read of these "gay" position papers on youth identifies both unambiguous seduction and the absence of any candid admissions of the massive, organized boy prostitution traffic. For such adult "guides" and "advisors" as often as not seek profit from using and selling the boys' sexual favors. The critical reader, bearing in mind the boy prostitution traffic, ponders Lynch's three-stage initiation process:

- First is the "sensitization" stage, a same-sex experience in childhood or adolescence. (Here even Lynch documents early sexual trauma as normally causal in a homosexual outcome.)
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• Second is the "signification" stage, when a child wonders about his or her heterosexuality "in their late teens or . . . somewhat earlier—usually by reading about homosexuality."233 (This is a regular occurrence now in classroom activity and via the establishment media.)

• Third is the "coming out" stage, which commonly takes place at that gay bar where benign "advisors" bring their boys.234

B. The Role of "Adult Advisors"

Recall, Herdt carelessly notes that many hundreds of homosexual "volunteers" who "range in age from twenty-three to the late forties"235 act as "adult advisors" for these children. Sociologist Fredrick Lynch’s observation that "what has not been brought out" is the way the guide serves as a "helping hand . . . [and] often benign and helpful role that older, more experienced homosexual men play with impressionable young boys.236

After the advent of the AIDS epidemic, academic homosexual leaders worried that boys were avoiding gay men. Herdt explained that homosexual leaders determined they must access schools, scouts, and other child institutions in order to "institutionalize 'socialization' techniques"237 to bring homosexuality to mainstream youth in the mid-1980s and reverse a growing youthful resistance to homosexuality. School newspaper ads seeking "gay" youths238 and proclaiming a battle against gay suicide and associated "hate" crimes began to appear regularly. Herdt explained why homosexual recruitment efforts increased. "We had not
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foreseen that ... gay youth would also have to contend with the new horrors of AIDS [and that] ... teenage gays and lesbians would shun older gays as role models or even as friends ... "239

To meet this challenge, Herdt said, "[O]nly now has gay culture begun to institutionalize 'socialization' techniques for the transmission of its cultural knowledge to a younger generation . . . ."240 as 'local 'gay' movements provide their own infrastructural support for the coming out process in teens."241

Homophile medical anthropologist Douglas Feldman claimed, "these kids are our (the gay community's) future and we must invest in them."242 Yet, Feldman admits that gay and lesbian organizations discourage having "gay" boys tested for HIV.243 Why? Feldman's reply is disturbing. "Teenagers tend to be very susceptible to sexually transmissible diseases [that testing might expose],"244 observed Feldman. In any event, Feldman observes, these boys "have about a one in four chance of developing AIDS in approximately five years."245 So how does the gay community counteract the unforeseen boyish fear of homosexual sex and an early, painful and lonely death?

Methods of socialization into "gay cultural values" were subsequently developed and implemented.246 The victim-minority propaganda techniques which Marshall and Kirk diagramed in After The Ball were largely followed to facilitate sexual access to all American schoolchildren. "How To Stop Child Abuse," is one of a series of full-page, fear-based advertisements designed by Kirk and Madsen to sell the idea of "bi/homosexual youth" to middle-America.247 Propagandizing as science the false Kinsey data that "one in every ten kids" is gay, the ad poses a forlorn, average young boy of about thirteen years of age. Implicit in the ad language is the threat to parents and boys--this could be you.248

The ad says the boy felt "different" when growing up and realized he was gay.249 Then he was abused (thrown out of his home) by his parents and brutally rejected by his friends.250 Because most children feel
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"different," telling children this could mean they are "gay" exploits the viewer's fear even as it encourages homosexual experimentation and recruitment.\footnote{Id.}

Kirk and Madsen provide an eight point "Strategic Evaluation" form to evaluate an ad's success in vilifying the "straight" world as scoundrels and smearing "parents [and] his folks," who are not gays, as child abusers.\footnote{Id. at 229.} This advertisement rates a "Bull's–eye," say Kirk and Madsen,\footnote{Id.} for implicit in the ad "How To Stop Child Abuse" is the boy's possible suicide because of "his folks" intolerance of yet another "gay teen."\footnote{Id. at 228-29.} Following is the Kirk and Madsen analysis of the ad:

**STRATEGY: Jamming / Conversion / Desensitization.** Build straight sympathy and protectiveness toward gays by portraying them as innocent victims of circumstance and bigotry. Teach readers that homosexuality is extremely common. [Kinsey's fraudulent 10 percent claim]

**COMMENT:** The headline catches the eye, and trades on the perennial public hysteria about child abuse (which is, of course, often blamed on gays). Then the copy turns the tables on straights: by focusing on teens, the ad portrays gays as innocent and vulnerable, victimized and misunderstood, surprisingly numerous yet not menacing. It also renders the 'anti-family' charge absurd and hypocritical. Bull's eye.\footnote{Id. at 229.}

However, the truth is that the "public hysteria about child abuse" is grounded in real sexual violence, including sexual violence to boys by men. Moreover, current U.S. population data comparing heterosexuals and homosexuals finds that child abuse should be "often blamed on gays," a population which reports that its strategy of winning youths necessitates "coaching" and "guiding" children into homosexual experimentation.

### C. One Teenager in Ten

In an era of pandemic child sexual abuse and pedophile predators, despite the mortality of AIDS, sexual license is regularly proffered in classrooms by allegedly platonic pedagogues who claim to harbor no libidinous yearnings for their charges. Lesbian teacher Virginia Uribie began the current practice of recruiting children into homosexuality via the classroom. Her organization describes itself as follows: "PROJECT 10, named after Kinsey's (1948) estimate that 10% of the population is
exclusively homosexual . . . has become a district-wide and nation-wide forum for . . . lesbian, gay, and bisexual teenagers.”

But, the entrapment of children under national programs like PROJECT 10 continues where Kinsey left off. An incredible thirty-eight percent of the short stories in ONE TEENAGER IN 10 celebrate child-adult sexual activity and normalize child sexual abuse. A sampling of these stories read as follows: "I was twelve . . . [when] my dance teacher . . . brought me out;” I was in seventh grade when I moved in with my "32-year-old-lover;” I was in fourth grade and had sex with my uncle; "I'm fifteen," and have sex with "this guy Reggie, who is 23."

D. The Schools Pitch In

Examples of sexual recruiting continue. New York City Schools: In 1991, the AIDS Program Services, New York City Department of Health published and distributed "A Teenager's Bill Of Rights" to New York schoolchildren. The pamphlet, endorsed by a series of credible organizations, tells the readers, "I have the right to decide whether to have sex and who to have it with," without reference to parents, age of consent, or statutory rape. The following tender, romantic directions grace an illustration of "How to use a condom." Children should use condoms in "vaginal sex (penis into a woman's vagina), oral sex (penis into the mouth) and anal sex (penis into the butt). Use a dental dam . . . or plastic food wrap for oral sex . . . . Hold it over her vagina to keep from getting her fluids in your mouth." Romantic indeed.
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inherent in the initiation of lonely and isolated children into early sex, and the predictable pattern of sexual disease and even death from homosexual conduct, the twin myths of condom safety and "informed consent" have become the sex educator's fetish. School personnel are warned that they must adhere to the new sexuality dogma or be labeled homophobes, subject to serious disciplinary action. 266

Perhaps not coincidentally, The University of Minnesota is a central source of the current positive pedophilia program. University of Minnesota Press is advertising its new book, "Harmful to Minors: The Perils of Protecting Children From Sex," 267 as challenging widespread anxieties about pedophilia. Its author, Judith Levine, claimed there is no proof that "it's always harmful for kids to have sexual relationships with adults . . . a boy's sexual experience with a priest could be positive." 268

"With more research, some scholars say, it may be only a matter of time before modern society accepts adult-child sex, just as it has learned to accept premarital sex and homosexual sex." 269 "Children are the last bastion of the old sexual morality," wrote one of the trailblazers for this view, Harris Mirkin, an associate professor of political science at the University of Missouri-Kansas City. 270

Massachusetts Schools: In Massachusetts, Department of Education employees are reported as "describing the pleasures of homosexual sex to a group of high school students at a state-sponsored workshop, March 25, 2000." 271 Homosexual lecturers described the fun of "fisting," (insertion of ones fist–even an arm–into, another's rectum) in libidinous, pornographic detail. 272 The following is censored for this monograph. Full quotations appear in The Massachusetts News. "Fisting's an experience of letting somebody into your body that you want to be that close and intimate with . . . [and] to put you into an exploratory mode." 273

[Organized by] The Commission for Gay and Lesbian Youth, made up of homosexual activists from across the state, since 1992 the Commission has used the safe schools mantra and state money to
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persuade over 180 schools in Massachusetts to accept the [Gay-Straight Alliance (GSA)] clubs and their activities. Parents and others who offer any criticism of the programs are regularly accused of homophobia and endangering students’ safety.\textsuperscript{274}

The information above became public only because a concerned parent took a hidden tape recorder into one of the meetings. After the parent circulated copies of the tape, homosexual organizations’ only response was to threaten to sue the parent for making the presentation public. Apparently they saw nothing wrong with teaching high school children how to do “fisting,” while dismissing its psychopathology and ridiculing its obvious physical harms.

\textbf{E. The Crafted Child}

On the one hand, it has taken organizational commitment, planning, and effort to cultivate and initiate the current crop of bisexual and homosexual youth. However, on the other hand, homosexuality (bisexuality and transgendered sexuality) is the only extant sexuality cult receiving both tax dollars and direct access to school children, with laws protecting PROJECT 10 type teachers. The advisor, guide, teacher, or “helping hand” aids recruitment and limits potential escapees during all stages of initiation of children into homosexuality.\textsuperscript{275} Employing the language of religion and ritual, Professor Herdt says adult–led gay youth groups direct the “adolescent entry first into a self-affirming semi-secret group, then by collective socialization into a ‘gay’ cultural system . . . .”\textsuperscript{276} Men “seclude[,] and protect[,] the youths, furthering their initiation . . . which socializes them further into the cultural system of the gay community.”\textsuperscript{277}

These “advisors” are not the children’s parents and many, if not most, are on record as selfishly interested in “helping” these boys in the form of obtaining sexual favors and/or economic rewards.\textsuperscript{278} The massive boy prostitution industry, servicing a significant percentage of the affluent homosexual community, is \textit{prima facie} organized by their elders.

\textbf{VI. HITHERTO UNDISCUSSED FACET OF THE HOMOSEXUAL WORLD}

\textbf{A. Boy Prostitution}

Investigative journalist Robin Lloyd documented the press suppression of homosexual abuse and murder of boys in his exposé of boy
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prostitution, *For Money or Love: Boy Prostitution in America*."

Some New York City high schools students ran . . . prostitution rings. Street pimps recruit[ed] and train[ed] [boy] runaways. "Boy prostitution . . . is now surfacing with the growing acceptance of homosexuality."  

Introducing Lloyd’s book, Senator Birch Bayh, former Chairman of the United States Senate Subcommittee to Investigate Juvenile Delinquency, confirmed that Lloyd’s data were "frightening in its accuracy." Bayh, a liberal Democrat, conceded that the massive child sexual abuse of boy prostitution was a "hitherto undisputed facet of the homosexual world." Lloyd says: "Perhaps half of the million runaway boys in this country (aged ten to sixteen) are peddling their bodies to ‘chicken hawks’–older men who lust for ‘chicken [boys].’" He continues: "There are tightly run organizations . . . geared to provide wealthy clients with both pornography and boys . . . [T]heir boys will entertain movie stars, prominent athletes politicians, and in some cases, heads of state."  

Lloyd’s observation that "[b]oy prostitutes in Times Square outnumber female hookers five to one" gives cause for pause. At one to two million "gay" men, under two percent of the ninety million over eighteen male population, 500,000 yields one prostituted boy per two or three homosexual males (this does not include sex with non-prostituting boys). On point, Gene Abel’s data (documented earlier) of over 150 boy victims per pederast/pedophile offender support common experience. As noted, since males gain trusted access to gangs of boys more easily than they can gain access to gangs of girls, each bisexual and homosexual child predator can harm significantly more boys than can each heterosexual girl-seeking predator.  
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B. Violence Against Children

As noted previously, The Advocate and other pro-gay publications have revealed substantially higher levels of violence among homosexual males (recall almost all Advocate readers admitted engaging in violent sex, sadism, “bondage and discipline” and use of painful objects). Thus, it is not unreasonable to ask whether these disproportionate levels of violence among homosexual adult males might suggest disproportionate levels of violence against children.

"In the U.S., one of the leading causes of death for juveniles is homicide. . . . [It is] the fourth leading cause of death for children ages one to four, third for ages five to fourteen and, second for ages fifteen to twenty-four." And much of that murder, according to law enforcement, is increasingly linked to sexual abuse. Although FBI profilers reported that eighty-one percent of America's serial-rape murderers studied — heterosexual, homosexual, and bisexual — "put pornography at the top of the list of their primary sexual interest," the FBI has not released its official statistics on the "orientation" of this growing cadre of largely pornographically-stimulated child rapist-murderers. Why not?

While Jeffrey Dahmer's gruesome sexual abuse and cannibalization of seventeen boys, many of them runaways and boy "prostitutes" advertising in the homosexual press and picked up at homosexual bars is still fairly well known, scores of similar bi/homosexual rapist-boy killers are long forgotten. In the last decade, there have been several homosexual kidnap-rape-castrations of boys (beyond the Jesse Dirkhising and Jeffrey Curley rape, mutilation murders earlier cited), including at least one infamous kidnapping, rape, and decapitation in 1981 of little six-year-old Adam Walsh by Ottis Toole, joined later by his "partner," Henry Lee Lucus. Robin Lloyd provides a litany of police
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cases of boy sex murders. On point, one boy was "picked up by two men . . . and castrated . . . . Both men said they were homosexual. The older man worked as a counselor for The Helping Hands Community Center, a gay organization."\footnote{297}

In yet another homosexual-child murder, a man mortally stabbed a boy during sex; elsewhere, in a more common turn of events, a boy, apparently sodomized for years by "a former social worker," stabbed the elderly man to death.\footnote{298} These news stories, however, are rarely covered by the establishment media. And, bearing in mind that older boys often initiate younger children into the affluent homosexual life of pornography and prostitution, Lloyd cites one gay youth who fatally stabbed a youngster for rebuffing "the elder's sexual advance."\footnote{299} Says Lloyd, "Stories of violence like these are commonplace" in the gay world.\footnote{300} Perhaps so, but such stories are seldom reported to the public by the media.

\textbf{C. The Latest DoJ Data on Boy Sexual Assault Victims}

During the research on my U.S. Department of Justice (DoJ) study, \textit{Images of Children, Crime and Violence in Playboy, Penthouse and Hustler},\footnote{301} this author was stunned to find no national sex assault data for victims under age twelve. Although a mass of anecdotal, testimonial information and small independent studies found a growing epidemic of child sexual abuse by adults and older children, the nation's most vulnerable were "hidden victims" of our sexual revolution. A new DoJ study (below) finally identifies massive under-age-twelve child sex abuse, much of it committed against boys.
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Figure 5: DOJ--64% Forcible Sodomy Victims Boys Under 18 Years-Old

The data released July 2000 by a special National Incidence Based Reporting System (NIBRS), "Sexual Assault of Young Children as Reported to Law Enforcement," states that most sex crimes reported to police are committed against children under age eighteen.\textsuperscript{302} The under twelve year-old population has heretofore been systematically purged from all U.S. Department of Justice statistical collections on sex crimes. The NIBRS states:

> Until recently . . . [t]he only existing national data collection effort that explored the incidence of sexual assault ignored crimes against young victims. The National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS) [reported rape] involving victims ages 12 or above . . . [ignoring largely child-related abuse] such as forcible sodomy, sexual assault with an object, and forcible fondling.\textsuperscript{303}

> Over two-thirds (67\%) of all victims of sexual assault reported to law enforcement agencies were juveniles (under the age of eighteen at the time of the crime) . . . . More than half of all juvenile victims were
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under age twelve. That is, 33% of all victims of sexual assault reported
to law enforcement were ages twelve through seventeen and were
under age 12.304

In each sexual assault category, except forcible rape, children below
the age of twelve were about half of all victims.305

The report cites twenty-six percent of sex assault victims under
twelve as boys,306 commonly sexually abused by men, sometimes with a
female accomplice. The lowest of the three lines in the DoJ chart below
graphically suggests roughly a sixteen percent female offender rate, a
disturbing growth in sexually perverted women. The report also shows
that women are twelve percent of the offenders of boys and girls under
six years old.307 Older juveniles also sexually offend against boys and
girls. "Based on the NIBRS data, the year in a male's life when he is most
likely to be the victim of a sexual assault is age 4."308

"By age 17 his risk of victimization has been cut by a factor of 5 . . . .
[U]nder age 12, [boys reported] sexual assault with an object (19%),
forcible fondling (26%), and forcible sodomy (64%)."309 (See figure 5
above).

As shown above, sexual violence is well documented among adult
bi/homosexual males, the primary offenders against the smallest of boys.
It is only reasonable to ask if the same proclivity holds true for those
homosexuals who use boy prostitutes. Nonetheless, any further research
studies trying to quantify the anecdotal evidence would almost certainly
be denied funding in today's climate. At the least, such results would not
likely see the light of day.

D. Image is Everything

Today's NLGJA-influenced press almost certainly would have
silenced the 1984 information released by The New York Times,
"Officials Cite a Rise in Killers Who Roam U.S. for Victims."310 Alongside
a profile of the male killers of women and girls, this in-depth article
noted the high percentage of homosexuals among the offenders. The
Times reported, "Many of the most violent recent multiple murders have
been committed by homosexual males." Examples include John Wayne Gacy (sexual mutilation of thirty-three young boys), William Bonin and two male accomplices (torture of fourteen youth), Bruce Davis (twenty-eight men and boys), Dean Corill ("dozens" of male victims), Randy Steven Kraft (perhaps sixteen male victims), Charles Hatcher (sixteen men and boys), and Patrick Wayne Kearney (eighteen men and boys). At the time, FBI officials reported the homosexual killers were motivated "by a sense of shame after having sexual relations with their victims."

Again, this is not an attempt to turn the "guilt by association" tactics of gay activists on them—it would be defamatory to imply that homosexuals in general are the moral equivalent of serial killers. Nonetheless, evidence strongly suggests that there is a disproportionately high rate of violent sex crimes among male homosexuals. Rather than debate and discuss the reasons for such a disparity, gay activists and the press seem more concerned about hiding any factual reports that might create a bad image. For example, Robin Lloyd cites the Houston mass murders of at least twenty-seven boys out of three hundred molested by "an unholy trio of [homosexual] sadists." Lloyd was concerned that press coverage of these rape-murders "would set the gay community back about ten years." Should that be the foremost concern? While the media constantly cite Kinsey's fraudulent data ("ten percent" of the population is homosexual), the Houston murderers and other sadistic boy sex killings are steadfastly buried and thus forgotten.

The New York Times reports other nations that experienced bisexual and homosexual patterns of mass child rape-mutilation-murder in the 1990s. Jaded Iqbal raped and massacred over 100 young boys between the ages of ten and fifteen in Pakistan; a Columbian man raped and murdered at least 140 boys in Columbia; and a sadistic bisexual rapist-murderer killed "fifty-two boys, girls and young women" in Russia. Thomas Hamilton, a homosexual youth volunteer, shot and killed sixteen children in a Scottish kindergarten in 1996. Hamilton,
said one of his charges, "always told us to take our tops off." He was having "problems trying to revive a boys club he ran after leaving the Boy Scouts," just before he massacred the children.

Again, although the homosexual press often reports adult inter-gay murders, both they and the establishment press avoid covering homosexual assaults and murders of boys like Jesse Dirkhising and Jeffrey Curley. Short of murder, parents commonly don't prosecute homosexual abusers, fearing the publicity, while police often finesse important or affluent predators out of town.

Having obtained endorsements from leaders in the homosexual movement, Lloyd still exposes some homosexual child sex rings. Boise, Idaho, "sheltered a widespread homosexual underworld that . . . had preyed on hundreds of teen-age boys." A Wisconsin town quietly suppressed "a homosexual ring" of about thirty-five closeted men, including prestigious leaders such as a college dean, a hospital president, a Roman Catholic priest, several physicians, a man "hired to teach at a school for mentally retarded children," and others. The "homosexual ring . . . had [with liquor and pornography,] enticed and contaminated many small boys as young as eight years old" into sex. Investigator Clifford Lindecker confirms Lloyd's findings of brutal but "respectable" pederasts fueling a flourishing traffic in boy prostitution.

Boy prostitution . . . is growing and becoming more obvious as homosexuals come out of the closets and form gay rights groups, and police power is watered down by court decisions friendlier to civil rights than to law enforcement and protection of the public . . . . [B]oys learn that they can earn good money doing something they and their friends have come to accept as normal behavior.

After admitting "sex abuse in childhood as a cause of male prostitution has become almost conventional wisdom," and that "the perpetrators are nearly always men," homophile Donald West protests that while his study of male prostitutes found high rates of child sexual abuse, he felt there was also a high rate of consent. Addressing boy prostitution, West references Gay Search: "Gay Search . . . comments on
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the fact that children are often given presents after cooperating with an abuser and writes: "it is easy to see how being rewarded for sexual favours can lead . . . very naturally to prostitution . . . ." 332

Boyer has argued that the culture of gay bars and meeting places, often referred to as a sexual market place by its emphasis on casual encounters and sexual conquest, holds out to young gays the expectation of patronage by older males and presents prostitution as a more or less normal aspect of the gay scene. 333

Lloyd reports yet another "gay" child pornography case where a group of boys seeking counseling from a junior high science teacher were recruited into sodomy and pornography. 334 One Los Angeles school teacher and child pornographer brought "groups of boys aged seven through thirteen across the border every three months." 335 And West confirms common knowledge of the brutality prevalent in both prostitution and homosexuality:

Many sexual assaults happening in later years were described during the interviews . . . . [Some victims were] forced by clients and their accomplices to submit to unwanted, painful or damaging sexual acts . . . [M]any men reported assaults in the course of sexual contacts unconnected with prostitution . . . . [T]he risk is to an extent inherent in some gay male lifestyles. 336

Obscenity seduces and habituates even well educated men and women through the introduction of pornography in homes, in schools, and through library internet connections; vulnerable boys and girls are increasingly at risk from a growing molester constituency. 337 In the last few years the Nobel Prize winner Dr. Carleton Gajdusek, American University President Dr. Richard Berendzen, and American University psychology department head Dr. Elliot McGinnies were convicted of pedophile-associated felonies, all while Kinseyan sex science leader Dr. John Money of Johns Hopkins was documented, like his mentor Dr. Kinsey, as a sadistic, pedophilic-oriented sexual psychopath. 338 Higher education does not appear to restrain predatory pedophiles.

332 Id. at 35.
333 Id. at 78 (internal citations omitted).
334 LLOYD, supra note 279, at 81.
335 Id. at 67.
336 WEST, supra note 329, at 328.
337 REISMAN, supra note 75.
338 See Cover-Up at American University?, 6 ACCURACY IN ACADEMIA 1, 5 (1991); Obscene Phone Calls Are Traced to American University President, WASH. POST, Apr. 25, 1990, at A24; Educator accused of sex abuse noted AU psychology head, Dr. McGinnies’ confession to the crime, BALTIMORE SUN, June 19, 1986, at D16 (the story never appeared in the Washington, DC papers); and, Nobel Winner Guilty of Abusing Boy, WASH. POST, Feb. 9, 1997, at A1.
VII. A Frightening Parallel

Lesbian activist Camille Paglia describes the tactics of modern gay rights activists as "Stalinist" in her book *Vamps and Tramps*. "I was the only openly gay person at the Yale Graduate School (1968-1972), a candor that was professionally costly. That anyone with my aggressive and scandalous history could be called ‘homophobic,’ as has repeatedly been done, shows just how insanely Stalinist gay activism has become."[^339]

Actually, "Nazi" or "fascist" might also be accurate descriptions. In their disturbing book, *The Pink Swastika: Homosexuality in the Nazi Party*,[^341] Scott Lively and Kevin Abrams report on "the hidden central role of ‘gays’ in the rise of the Nazi Party and the administration of the Third Reich."[^342] While it is true that thousands of homosexuals, like thousands of other, quite average Germans, were targeted, tortured, and murdered by the Nazis, Lively and Abrams show that the persecution of homosexuals was actually the result of an intrafraternal battle between two schools of thought among homosexuals.

One faction followed [Karl Heinrich] Ulrich’s successor, Magnus Hirschfeld, who formed the Scientific Humanitarian Committee in 1897 and later opened the Institute for Sex Research in Berlin. The other faction was organized by Adolf Brand, publisher of the first homosexual magazine, *Der Eigene* (The Special). Brand, Benedict Friedlander and Wilhelm Janzen formed the Gemeinschaft der Eigenen (The Community of the Special) in 1902. What divided these groups was their concepts of masculinity. Ulrichs' theory embraced a feminine identity. His, and later Hirschfeld's, followers literally believed they were women trapped in men's bodies.[^343]

The followers of Brand, however, were deeply insulted by Ulrich's theory. They perceived themselves not merely as masculine, but as a breed of men superior in masculine qualities even to heterosexuals. The Community of the Special (CS) asserted that male homosexuality was the foundation of all nation-states and that male homosexuals represented an elite strata of human society. The CS fashioned itself as a modern incarnation of the warrior cults of ancient Greece. Modeling themselves after the military heroes of Sparta, Thebes and

[^339]: Paglia, supra note 147.
[^342]: Id. at book jacket cover.
Crete, the members of the CS were ultra-masculine, male-supremacist and pederastic (devoted to man/boy sex).344

One of the favorite meeting places of the Nazi Storm Troopers was a gay bar in Munich called "Bratwurstglockl," where Ernst Roehm kept a reserved table.345 The bar had been the site of early organizational meetings of the Nazi party.346 Heinrich Himmler reportedly complained of Hitler’s nepotism toward homosexuals. "Does it not constitute a danger to the Nazi movement . . . if it can be said that Nazi leaders are chosen for sexual reasons?" he asked.347

Beyond exploiting the Holocaust to establish homosexuals as "victims" in American eyes, one might reasonably ask why that relates to the present article. For the answer, one can turn to Paglia’s observations about the "Stalinist" tactics of some elements of the American gay rights movement. Neither the public nor the larger homosexual community is served when the press—establishment press and homosexual press alike—turn a blind eye to the growing excesses of gay activists. The following brief discussion of modern homosexual fascist tactics is offered as a context within which we may examine today’s activists. Lively and Abrams write the following:

ACT-UP was founded by Larry Kramer and approximately 300 other activists in March, 1987, in New York City; it soon spread across the nation (Alyson Almanac:42). Within a few months its members had gained national attention for their aggressive actions against those whom they considered enemies. ACT-UP groups invaded Catholic churches in New York during religious services, screaming obscenities and "stomping on communion wafers" (Miller:460) Catholic churches were also targeted in Washington, Los Angeles and Puerto Rico. Newspaper boxes were smashed in Sacramento to punish an editor for his views (Grant, 1993:104). One militant who later regretted his involvement was Washington, D.C. ACT-UP founder, Eric Pollard. The following is an excerpt from his 1992 letter to the Washington Blade entitled, “Time to give up fascist tactics.”348

This is very hard for me to write. It forces me to squarely confront my past actions and to accept responsibility for the damage I have had a part in causing. I sincerely apologize for my involvement in and my founding of the AIDS activist organization, ACT-UP D.C. I have helped to create a truly
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fascist organization . . . drawn largely from the voluminous
_Mein Kampf_, which some of us studied as a working model. 349

Lively and Abrams continue:

Within a few years of its founding, ACT-UP spawned the more radical
Queer Nation. Miller writes that Queer Nation's "in your face" tactics
agonized some in the "gay" community. Randy Shilts [a prominent
homosexual writer] called Queer Nationals "brownshirts" and
"lavender fascists" (Miller:460). Queer Nation adopted highly militant
rhetoric and openly threatened violence. Grant describes their tactics
during an Oregon election campaign . . . in which voters considered a
law to ban minority status based on homosexuality:

[F]lyers appeared on telephone poles warning people to vote against it.
One showed the Christian ichthus fish being roasted on a stick over a
fire. It read, YOU BURN US, WE BURN YOU . . . another said CIVIL
RIGHTS or CIVIL WAR. Your choice for a limited time only . . . . It
also clarified what it meant by "civil war" by listing "QUEER KNIVES,
QUEER GUNS, QUEER BULLETS, QUEER MISSILES, QUEER
TANKS, QUEER TRENCHES, QUEER FIRE, QUEER WARFARE,
QUEER PATRIOTS. (Grant, 1993:1040).

VIII. A NEED TO RECRUIT STRAIGHTS

Both the reality of predatory gay "counselors" and the homosexual
lust for "straight" boys and men, confirmed throughout the homosexual
literature and in the Reisman and Johnson report, 351 received a pithy
corroboration from Paglia whose remarks, again ignored by the
mainstream media, address the vulnerability of college youth. Instead,
these lures are now commonly advanced to "questioning" children in
junior high and high school inching down to elementary school with the
notion of saying "yes" to bi/homosexuality. Paglia is remarkably candid
about the homosexual need to recruit "straights."

Today, when a freshman has an affair with another girl, all the
campus social-welfare machinery pushes her toward declaring herself
gay and accepting and 'celebrating' it. This is a serious mistake . . . .
[The campus counselors who encourage such premature conclusions
should be condemned and banished. They are preying, for their own
ideological purposes, on young people at their most vulnerable. 352

And, she continues:

It's ridiculous to assert that gay men are interested only in other
gay men . . . When I heard this on TV, I burst out laughing . . .
Sexual tension and appraisal are constants, above all among gay men,
who never stop cruising everything in sight. Seduction of straight studs [especially boys] is a highly erotic motif in gay porn.  

This tactic is fully documented in the Reisman and Johnson report from which is taken from The Advocate article entitled "How to Seduce Straight Men," which opens as follows:

Who hasn't dreamed of getting it on with a straight man? Their sleek, tanned bodes, yes, but also their unself-conscious sexuality . . . .
Well, my friends, believe it or not, there are indeed ways to get that hunk. You have to be clever, ready to move at a moments notice, and prepared for scorn from straight and gay alike.

IX. WHAT IS HOMOSEXUAL "ORIENTATION?"

Reisman and Johnson's comparative analysis of Partner Solicitation Language as a Reflection of Male Sexual Orientation examined the claim that "gay men lead daily lives that are basically similar to those of heterosexual men."

Again, this study analyzes Classified "In Search Of" (ISO) advertisements placed by largely liberal, affluent heterosexual males in the Washingtonian and homosexual males in The Advocate, to determine what each group of consumers sought in a partner. Our research team coded 2885 Washingtonian ISO ads and 7407 Advocate ISO ads in issues from 1988 to 1992 for the presence of expressed desires. The study, conducted by this author and statistician, Charles B. Johnson, Ph.D., sought to determine if these two male populations supported the increasingly popular view that "[t]he sex and love lives of most gay and straights today are both similar and conventional."

"No," says former California Congressman William Danneymeyer, citing to Kinsey's role in decriminalizing fornication, adultery, and sodomy as steps toward accommodating the homosexual lifestyle. He argued that society must be protected from what veteran homosexual
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activist Dennis Altman views positively as *The Homosexualization of America*.\(^{360}\)

However, Reisman and Johnson document the sample of homosexual bachelors as wholly different in their partner seeking conduct from that of heterosexual bachelors. Below are five key findings about the partner interests of these affluent, activist, mainstream heterosexual and homosexual men.\(^{361}\)

- 86% of Washingtonians versus 2% of Advocates sought/offered time commitment;
- 49% of Washingtonians versus 3% of Advocates sought/offered non-sexual interests;
- 5% of Washingtonians versus 63% of Advocates sought/offered prostitution;
- 0.41% of Washingtonians versus 25% of Advocates sought/offered sexual sadism;
- 0.45% of Washingtonians versus 15% of Advocates sought/offered teen sex.\(^{362}\)

On point, the Reisman and Johnson report also documents also a systematic use of “sexy” boys as film themes—such as advertised in the July 8, 1986 Advocate—and continuing in the “coming out” films which may be said to dominate “gay” cinema, dramatizing boys as sexual objects for men. This sexual focus on boys is seen in the 15 percent of Advocate ads still blatantly seeking young boys compared to 0.45 percent of Washingtonians seeking young girls.

These findings show dramatic “orientation” differences on all critical variables which are not statistically attributable to chance, and all of which report, statistically, a homosexual lifestyle of compulsive and sadistic promiscuity, disinclined to commitment and inclined to prostitution and the sexual abuse of minors. In fact, Kirk and Madsen confessed, “There is more promiscuity among gays (or at least among gay men) than among straights.”\(^{363}\) Moreover, despite their heavy focus on finding sex partners, *The Advocate carried no major condom advertisements nor did their “In Search Of” (ISO) advertisers express any condom requirements for sexual partners.*


\(^{362}\) Reisman & Johnson, *supra* note 84, at 169.

\(^{363}\) Kirk & Madsen, *supra* note 17, at 47.
X. Conclusion

Justification for teaching children about sex acts is based on the unverified claim that children are sexual from birth and that juveniles are not able to be self-governing because hormones are "raging" leading most youths, naturally, to sexual activity and AIDS. This requires, argue the sex "experts," graphic sex instruction from older, allegedly wiser teachers or 'guides' on sexual techniques and related issues. Moreover, the excuse for explicit school sex demonstrations and distribution of condoms has been "to inform" school children of the condom's "safe sex" properties if used properly (now established as a false assumption). Ironically though, homosexual activists have systematically purged major condom ads from their own periodicals. It is not a coincidence that since the teaching of Kinseyan promiscuity began, and traditional American sexual reticence was abandoned, sexual disease, despair, violence and dysfunction are rampant and show no signs of abating.

The public should be aware that increasing children's promiscuity advances the interests of sexual predators and of the booming pornography/prostitution sex industry. Adult society is responsible for being sufficiently mature to protect our young. Our individual and societal self indulgence and failure to protect is therefore painfully visible everywhere. No blame is due any child in this tragic process. The "coaches," guilty of promoting alleged "consensual" child abuse, should be located, arrested for contributing to the delinquency of minors and prosecuted to the full limit of tough pre-Kinsey laws.

James Madison wrote in 1832 that "[a] popular Government, without popular information, or the means of acquiring it, is but a Prologue to a Farce or a Tragedy; or perhaps both." The managed media and academic control over information on homosexuality qualify indeed for creating a modern American Tragedy.

APPENDIX A


Harvard-educated homosexual icon, Toby Marotta describes Stonewall as a "Mafia-controlled," "dimly lit dance bar" catering to "dope-smokers," "acid-heads," "speed freaks" and "street queens." A photograph from the homosexual magazine, 10 Percent, (June 1994, p. 46), of the Stonewall Clientele portrays teenage boy "street queens" (prostitutes) proudly posing outside the bar where the celebrated riots took place. The pederast patrons, who along with a number of...

---

365 Marotta, supra note 140, at 71, 75.
transvestites (not shown in photograph) were the subjects of the police raid.

The photograph of Stonewall druggies and bar boys (of whom one of the few Stonewall women "regulars" at the bar noted, "A lot of the kids called me ‘Mommie’" at 50), identifies that the sacramental treatment of those engaged in the Stonewall Riots is misplaced.

An extensive collection of images of boys as sexual objects in The Advocate, the mainstream, upscale homosexual publication, are available in the author's archive.

APPENDIX B

The following study, taken from the Reisman & Johnson report, reflects the number of pederast images in The Advocate in the five years leading up to 1992.

**Figure 6: Systemic Sexualization of Boys in THE ADVOCATE**

![Graph showing the number of boy images averaging 26.7 per issue from Jan '88 to Jun '92.](image)

267 Boy Images Averaging 26.7 Per Issue
(97% Sexual, 3% Non Sexual)
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366 REISMAN & JOHNSON, supra note 84, at A-17.